

Physical Science International Journal 4(4): 636-648, 2014

SCIENCEDOMAIN *international www.sciencedomain.org*

Neutron Capture by ¹⁵N Nucleus at Astrophysical Energies

S. B. Dubovichenko1*, N. V. Afanasyeva1,2* and N. A. Burkova1,2

¹Fessenkov Astrophysical Institute, "NCSRT" NSA RK, 050020, Almaty, Kazakhstan. ²Al-Farabi Kazakh National University, 050000, Almaty, Kazakhstan.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Author SBD designed the study, performed the Calculating methods and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Author NAB performed the Mathematical analysis of the obtained results and prepared with Author NVA the final text of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Original Research Article

Received 5th September 2013 Accepted 18th October 2013 Published 30th January 2014

ABSTRACT

Within the potential cluster model with the forbidden states and an orbital states classification according to the Young diagrams the possibility of description of experimental data for the total cross-sections of radiative neutron capture by $15N$ at energies from 25 to 370 keV was considered. It was shown that only on basis of the E1-transitions from the different states of $n+15N$ -scattering to the ground state of $16N$ nucleus in $n+15N$ -channel it is well succeed to explain the value of the total cross-sections in the considered energy range and to prognosticate its behavior at energy $E \le 1$ eV. These cross-sections at energies $E \le$ 10 keV are approximated by the simple analytical form.

Keywords: Potential cluster model; radiative neutron capture; young diagrams; astrophysical energies.

1. INTRODUCTION

Continuing the study of the reactions of neutrons radiative capture by light nuclei which take part in the various thermonuclear processes [1] let's discuss the reaction of $n^{15}N \rightarrow 16N\gamma$ at

__

^{}Corresponding author: Email: dubovichenko@gmail.com, n.v.afanasyeva@gmail.com;*

low energies. This process is included in the one of the possible chains of primary nucleosynthesis reactions [2-4] which determined the development of the Universe in an early stage of its forming [5]

 $^{1}H(n,\gamma)^{2}H(n,\gamma)^{3}H(^{2}H,n)^{4}He(^{3}H,\gamma)^{7}Li(n,\gamma)^{8}Li(^{4}He,n)^{11}B(n,\gamma)^{12}B(\beta)^{12}C(n,\gamma)^{13}C(n,\gamma)^{14}C(n,\gamma)$ $^{15}C(\beta)^{15}N(n,\gamma)^{16}N(\beta)^{16}O(n,\gamma)^{17}O(n,^4He)^{14}C...$

Earlier in our works [6-12] the astrophysical S-factors and the total cross-sections of 19 reactions (or 20 including this n¹⁵N \rightarrow ¹⁶N γ reaction) of radiative capture of protons, neutrons and other particles by many light atomic nuclei were considered. For such processes analysis we usually use the methods of calculations based on the potential cluster model (PCM) of light atomic nuclei with the forbidden states (FS) [13,14]. The presence of the FS in the wave functions (WF) is defined on the basis of the orbital states classification according to the Young diagrams. This classification was described in works [14,15]. In the used approach the potentials of intercluster interactions for the scattering processes are constructed on the basis of reproduction of the considered particles elastic scattering phases taking into account the resonance behavior of these particles [16]. For the bound or ground (BS or GS) states of the nuclei generated in the reaction in the cluster channel which is the same as the initial particles, the intercluster potentials are constructed on the basis of the description of these particles binding energy in the final nucleus and some basic characteristics of such states [16]. For example, the root mean square radius of the final nucleus and its asymptotic constant (AC) in this channel are considered.

Meanwhile for any cluster system the many-particle character and antisymmetrization effects are qualitatively taken into account by such potential one-particle levels separation for the allowed (AS) and forbidden by the Pauli principle states [6-12,16,17]. Choice of the potential cluster model with the forbidden states for the consideration of such cluster systems is caused by that in many light atomic nuclei the probability of the nucleon associations, i.e. clusters, forming and their isolation degree are rather high. It is confirmed by many experimental data and various theoretical calculations obtained by different authors in the last 50-60 years [13-15].

2. MODEL AND METHODS

Proceeding to ${}^{15}N(n,\gamma){}^{16}N$ total cross-sections analysis let's note that ${}^{15}N$ nucleus orbital states classification according to the Young diagrams was qualitatively considered by us in works [9,17]. So for n+¹⁵N-system we have $\{1\} \times \{4443\} \rightarrow \{5443\} + \{4444\}$. The first of the obtained diagrams is compatible with the orbital moment *L*=1, 3 and forbidden as it has 5 boxes in the first row, and the second one is allowed and compatible with the orbital momentum *L*=0 [13-15]. Thus, taking into account only the lowest partial waves it may be said that in the potentials of *S*- and *D*-waves the forbidden states are absent, but the P-wave contains the forbidden state. The allowed in the D-wave state corresponds to the ground state of ^{16}N nucleus at n+ ^{15}N -system binding energy -2.491 MeV [18]. Since the moment of ¹⁵N nucleus is equal to $J^{\pi}T$ = 1/2 1/2 [18] and ¹⁶N nucleus characteristics are known $J^{\pi}T$ = 2 1 [19] then ¹⁶N ground state in n+¹⁵N-channel may be the mixture of ¹D₂- and ³D₂ states in ²*S*+1*D^J* notations.

Since we have not the total tables of the Young diagrams product for the particle systems with A>8 [20], which were used by us earlier for such calculations [6-12,21,22], therefore the obtained above result should be considered as only the qualitative evaluation of possible orbital symmetries for the bound states of ${}^{16}N$ nucleus in $n+{}^{15}N$ -channel. At once just on the basis of such classification it was succeeded to explain well enough the available experimental data for 13 C(p, γ)¹⁴N [23], 13 C(n, γ)¹⁴C [24] and also for 14 N(n, γ)¹⁵N [17]. So here we will use this given above classification of cluster states according to the orbital symmetries. This classification gives the certain number of the FS and AS in the different partial intercluster interaction potentials. Number of these states defines the number of nodes of the relative motion radial WF of the clusters with certain orbital moment [6-12]. al Science International Journal, 4(4): 636-648, 2014

eus in n+¹⁵N-channel. At once just on the

to explain well enough the available

cluster states according to the orbital

cluster states according to the orbital

u *Physical Science International Journal, 4(4): 636-648, 2014*

ies for the bound states of ¹⁶N nucleus in n+¹⁵N-channel. At once just on the

classification it was succeeded to explain well enough the available

ata f *Physical Science International Journal, 4(4): 636-648. 2014*

Identical symmetries for the bound states of ¹⁶N nucleus in n⁺¹⁹N-channel. At once just on the

bisis of such classification it was succeeded to explain w Physical Science International Journal. 4(4): 636-648. 2014

bilal symmetries for the bound states of ¹⁶N nucleus in n⁺¹⁶N-channel. At once just on the

specimental data for ³²C(p, γ ¹⁴N [23], ³²C(p, γ ¹ International Journal, 4(4): 636-648, 2014
 $L^{15}N$ -channel. At once just on the

ain well enough the available

also for ¹⁴N(n, γ)¹⁵N [17]. So here

states according to the orbital

f the FS and AS in the differen *Physical Science International Journal, 4(4): 638-648, 2014*
 **Example The Solution Science of ¹⁶N nucleus in n+¹⁶N-channel. At once just on the

is of such classification it was succeeded to explain well enough the** *Physical Science International Journal, 4(4): 636-648, 2014*
 g Solician *s signical Science International Journal, 4(4): 636-648, 2014*
 due to ¹³C(p, i)⁻¹N(p, i)⁻¹C(p, i)⁻¹C(p, i)⁻¹C(p, i)⁻¹C(p, i)⁻¹ *Physical Science International Journal, 4(4): 636-648, 2014*

e bound states of ¹⁶N nucleus in n+¹⁵N-channel. At once just on the

ration it was succeeded to explain well enough the available

above classification of Physical Science International Journal, 4(4): 636-648, 2014

Ebial symmetries for the bound states of "⁶N nucleus in n+¹⁸N-channel. At once just on the

teen interaction it was succeeded to explain well enough the ava *i* ii the avanable
⁵N [17]. So here
y to the orbital
3 in the different
the number of
nent [6-12].
cross-sections in
 $J_J^2(J_f, J_i)$, (1)
wn [25,26]:
 $i S J_i$ $\left.\begin{matrix} 2 \\ f J L_f \end{matrix}\right\}^2$, g to the orbital
 f in the different

the number of

nent [6-12].

cross-sections in
 $J_j^2(J_f, J_i)$

(1)

wn [25,26]:
 $i S J_i$
 $f J L_f$
 f *L*, *A*(*4*): 636-648, 2014
 t once just on the

gh the available
 $J^{15}N$ [17]. So here

g to the orbital

S in the different

s the number of

ment [6-12].

cross-sections in
 πJ^2 (*J_f, J_i*)

(1)

won [25,26 *Physical Science International Journal, 4(4): 636-648, 2014*
 Physical Science International Journal, 4(4): 636-648, 2014
 **Properties of such classification it was succeeded to explain well enough the available

seper** *Jal, 4(4): 636-648, 2014*
 J for the divide available
 *J*¹⁵N [17]. So here
 *J*¹⁵N [17]. So here
 J is in the different

As in the different
 J as the number of
 J are the reduced
 L_i S J_i $\Big|$ ² *Physical Science International Journal, 4(4): 636-648, 2014*

ies for the bound states of ¹⁶N nucleus in n+¹⁶N-channel. At once just on the

classification it was succeeded to explain well enough the available

ita f Ital symmetries for the bound states of ¹⁶N nucleus in n+¹⁶N-channel. At once just on the

is of such chassification it was succeeded to explain well enough the available

eiernemental data for "3C(p_1)⁴N [23], "3 abitati symmetries for the bound states of ¹⁸N nucleus in the "N-channel state, n_1n_2 coord, 199
 Z A Equality the state of ¹³C($p_1n_1^2$)¹($p_2n_1^2$) ($C(n_1n_2)^{1/2}$ ($C(n_1n_2)^{1/2}$ ($C(n_2)^{1/2}$) ($D(n_1n_2)^$ *r* the bound states of ¹⁶N nucleus in n⁺¹⁶N-channel. At once just on the similar inform it was succeeded to explain well enough the available in Fig. 12(p, *n*)^{¹⁶N (23), ¹²C(p, *n*)^{¹⁶C [24] and also for ¹⁴N}} Physical Science International Journal, 4(4): 636-648, 2014

Let the bound states of ¹⁶N nucleus in n⁺¹⁶N-channel. At once just on the

all data for ¹³C(p, γ ¹⁴N [23], ¹³C(p, γ ¹⁴C [24] and also for ¹⁴N(orbital symmetries for the bound states of ^{18}N nucleus in n+¹⁵N-channel. At once just on the basis of such classification it was succeeded to explain well enough the available basis of such classification of classif

The expressions for calculating of the radiative capture process $\sigma(N)$ total cross-sections in the cluster model have the following form (see, for example, [25], [26]):

$$
\sigma_c(NJ, J_f) = \frac{8\pi Ke^2}{\hbar^2 q^3} \frac{\mu}{(2S_1 + 1)(2S_2 + 1)} \frac{J + 1}{J[(2J + 1)!!]^2} A_J^2(NJ, K) \sum_{L_i, J_i} P_J^2(NJ, J_f, J_i) I_J^2(J_f, J_i)
$$
\n(1)

where for the orbital electric *ЕJ*(*L*) transitions the following expression is known [25,26]:

experimental data for "C(p,
$$
\gamma
$$
) "N [23], "C(n, γ) "C [24] and also for "N(n, γ) "N [17]. So here
\nwill use this given above classification of cluster states according to the orbital
\nsymmetries. This classification gives the certain number of the F's and AS in the different
\npartial intercluster interaction potentials. Number of these states defines the number of
\nnodes of the relative motion radial WF of the clusters with certain orbital moment [6-12].
\nThe expressions for calculating of the radiative capture process $\sigma(NJ)$ total cross-sections in
\nthe cluster model have the following form (see, for example, [25], [26]):
\n
$$
\sigma_c(NJ, J_f) = \frac{8\pi Ke^2}{\hbar^2 q^3} \frac{\mu}{(2S_1 + 1)(2S_2 + 1)} \frac{J + 1}{J[(2J + 1)!!]^2} A_f^2(NJ, K) \sum_{L_i, J_i} P_f^2(NJ, J_f, J_i) I_f^2(J_f, J_i)
$$
\n(1)
\nwhere for the orbital electric $EJ(L)$ transitions the following expression is known [25,26]:
\n
$$
P_J^2(EJ, J_f, J_i) = \delta_{S_i S_f} \left[(2J + 1)(2L_i + 1)(2J_i + 1)(2J_f + 1) \right] (L_i 0J0 | L_f 0)^2 \begin{cases} L_i S J_i \\ J_f J L_f \end{cases}
$$
\n
$$
A_J(EJ, K) = K^J \mu^J \frac{Z_1}{m^J_1} + (-1)^J \frac{Z_2}{m^J_2} \frac{I_J (J_f, J_i) = \left\langle \chi_f | R^J | \chi_i \right\rangle}{I_f J L_f}
$$
\nHere S_1 , S_2 , L_f , L_i , J_s are the spins, orbital and total moment of particles in the initial
\nchannel (*i*) and the nucleus in the final channel (*f*) correspondingly; μ , *q* are the reduced
\nchannel (*i*) and the nucleus in the initial channel (*f*) correspondingly; μ , *q* are the reduced
\nchannels and the waves number of particles in the initial channel: K^J . *J* are the wave number and the moment of
\nand charges of particles in the initial channel: K^J . *J* are the mass
\nand charges of particles in the initial channel: K^J .

channel (*i*) and the nucleus in the final channel (f) correspondingly; μ , q are the reduced mass and the wave number of particles in the initial channel; m_1 , m_2 , Z_1 , Z_2 are the masses and charges of particles in the initial channel; K' , J are the wave number and the moment of γ in the final channel; I_J is the integral over the initial and final states wave functions, i.e. over the clusters relative motion functions with the intercluster distance *R, N* is *E* or *M* transitions of multipolarity *J* from the J_i initial to J_f final state of nucleus [9,16,26].

Let's emphasize that in our present or previous [9,16,26] calculations we always take the spectroscopic factor *S^f* equal to 1. This spectroscopic factor may enter into the expression for the cross-section (see for example [25]). Apparently, considering the capture reaction in the potential cluster model it is not necessary to include the additional *S^f* factor [6-12] for the potentials consistent in the continuous spectrum with the scattering processes characteristics taking into account the resonant form of phases and also for the discrete spectrum potentials describing the basic nucleus GS or BS characteristics. As the result all existing in the reaction effects expressed in the certain factors and coefficients are taking into account by the interaction potentials, because these potentials are constructed on the basis of the description of observable characteristics. These characteristics are the experimental characteristics of the clusters interacting in the initial channel and some generated in the final state nucleus described by the cluster structure consisting of the initial particles.

For the performing of the radiative capture total cross-sections calculations our computer program based on the finite-difference method was rewritten [6-12,26]. Besides the finite difference method the variation method with the wave function expansion in an none orthogonal gauss basis with the independent variation of the expansion parameters [9,27] was used.

$$
\Phi_L(R) = \frac{\chi_L(R)}{R} = R^L \sum_i C_i \exp(-\beta_i R^2)
$$

Physical Science International Journal, 4(4): 636-648, 2014

(R) = $\frac{\chi_L(R)}{R} = R^L \sum_{i} C_i \exp(-\beta_i R^2)$

Fre β_i are the variation parameters and C_i are the expansion coefficients. Variation

gram [9] was used in order *Physical Science International Journal, 4(4): 636-648, 2014*
 L $(R) = \frac{\chi_L(R)}{R} = R^L \sum_i C_i \exp(-\beta_i R^2)$
 Lete β_i *are the variation parameters and* C_i *are the expansion coefficients. Variation

<i>Cogram* [9] was used in *Physical Science International Journal, 4(4): 636-648, 2014*
 $R = \frac{\chi_L(R)}{R} = R^L \sum_i C_i \exp(-\beta_i R^2)$
 $\theta = \beta_i$ are the variation parameters and C_i are the expansion coefficients. Variation

ram [9] was used in order to chec Physical Science International Journal, 4(4): 636-648, 2014

Was used.
 $\Phi_L(R) = \frac{\chi_L(R)}{R} = R^L \sum_i C_i \exp(-\beta_i R^2)$

where β_i are the variation parameters and *C_i* are the expansion coefficients. Variation

program [9] was program [9] was used in order to check the accuracy of the calculation of the binding energy and form of the considered nucleus wave function in the ground and bound states by using the finite-difference method.

In these calculations the exact value of neutron mass $[28]$ with ^{15}N nucleus mass equal to

15.000108 a.m.u. [29] was assigned, and the value of $\frac{n}{2}$ \hbar^2 / m_0 constant was taken equal to 41.4686 MeV \cdot Fm². Although this value is considered as rather obsolete to date in comparison with the new value 41.801591 MeV \cdot Fm² [30], we continue to use it for facilitation of the comparison of the last [6-12] and all earlier obtained results [16,26].

3. INTERACTION POTENTIALS

For ${}^{15}N(n,\gamma)$ ¹⁶N total cross-sections description as well as in the previous works [6-12,16,17,21-24] we considered *E*1-transitions. In the given process this transition is possible from the none-resonance ³ P_2 scattering wave with zero phases at energies up to 1.0 MeV to the triplet part of ${}^{3}D_{2}$ wave function of the bound ground state of $n+{}^{15}N$ -clusters in ${}^{16}N$ nucleus. In addition $E1$ -transitions are possible from the resonance P_1 scattering wave at 0.921 MeV [19], which is the mixture of the ${}^{3}P_1$ triplet and ${}^{1}P_1$ singlet states, to the ${}^{3}D_2$ -triplet and ¹D₂ singlet part of the ground state wave function correspondingly. On the analogy with work [31] let's write the cross-section in the following form u. [29] was assigned, and the value of h^2/m_0 constant was taken equal to cm^2 , m^2 , chltough this value is considered as rather obsolete to date in the new value 41,801591 MeV·Fm² [30], we continue to use where β , are the variation parameters and C_i are the expansion coefficients. Variation computing energy of the calculation of the considered nucleus wave function in the ground and bound states by using the finite-di

$$
\sigma_0(E1) = \sigma(E1, \,^3 P_2 \to^3 D_2) + \sigma(E1, \,^3 P_1 \to^3 D_2) + \sigma(E1, \,^1 P_1 \to^1 D_2)
$$

For performing the calculations of the radiative capture total cross-sections the nuclear part of intercluster $n+15N$ -interaction potential as usual is presented in the Gaussian form [6-12]

$$
V(r) = -V_0 \exp(-\alpha r^2).
$$

Let's notice that for the potential of resonance $1+3P_1$ scattering waves at 0.921 MeV [19] with one FS it is not succeed to obtain the potential which is able to reproduce correctly the width of resonance. For example, the potential with parameters V_{P1} = 7687.40 MeV, α_{P1} = 10.0 Fm⁻ leads to the resonance width equal to 138 keV (the center-of-mass system) at energy 0.921 MeV that is approximately in 10 times greater than experimental value 14 keV (the laboratory system) given in table 16.10 of work [19]. Although according to the data presented in table 16.5 of the same review [19] this width is equal to 15(5) keV in the center of-mass system. Calculation of P_1 -phase of elastic n+¹⁵N-scattering with such potential at energies from 0.2 to 1.25 MeV shows that it has the resonance form represented in Fig. 1 by solid curve. In order that this potential may correctly reproduce the width of resonance it would be necessary to increase greatly α parameter, i.e. to decrease width of potential. For example, the parameters 15385.47 MeV and 20.0 Fm^2 lead to the width of resonance about 98 keV in the center-of-mass system. This potential phase is shown in Fig. 1 by dotted curve. Parameters of much more narrow potential with one FS are

$$
V_{P1}
$$
 = 30781.774 MeV, α_{P1} = 40.0 Fm⁻².................
2)

They lead to the resonance width 70 keV in the center-of-mass system and its phase is represented in Fig. 1 by dashed curve. Relative accuracy of *Р*¹ scattering phase computing

different potentials described in the text

As one can see from these calculations even for very approximate description of this resonance width in the elastic $n+15N$ -scattering the P_1 -potential with absolutely exceptional width can be obtained. So for the independent checking of these results other program for the phases calculation was used. This program was independently developed by the outside producer and it is based on other computing methods for the wave function calculation and rather different ways of matching of the wave function with asymptotic [32]. On the basis of it the scattering phase equal to 89.9° was obtained for the potential (2) at resonance energy 921 keV (the laboratory system) and specifying of 500 thousand steps of the wave function calculation and matching of it with asymptotic at 30 Fm. This result differs from the other one given above only on 0.1°, i.e. on the phase estimation error evaluation specified in our program with automatic selection of step and the wave function matching radius. Thereby the results obtained by using two independent programs totally confirm the rightness of this potential construction.

Here it is necessary to notice that the potential with the FS at known energy of resonance level in $16N$ nucleus spectra and its width is constructed absolutely unambiguously. It is not possible to find other parameters V_0 and α which would be able to reproduce correctly the resonance level energy and its width, if the number of FS is given, which in this case is equal to 1. Such potential depth unambiguously locates a position of resonance, i.e. the energy of resonance level, and its width gives the definite width of this resonance state. However, we didn't succeed to find some physical interpretation of so small width and huge depth of this potential. The total mass of 16 nucleons in nucleus is approximately equal to 15 GeV, and the depth of potential (2) takes on a value 30 GeV. Thus, used here potentials should be considered as an attempt of rough approximation within the potential approach (i.e. on the basis of interaction potentials taking into account a resonance behavior of scattering phases of two nuclear particles with given masses) of experimentally observed width of the considered level at energy 921 keV which is present in elastic n+¹⁵N-scattering (see table 16.10 from review [19]).

For the potentials of non-resonant ${}^{3}P_{2}$ - and ${}^{3}P_{0}$ -waves with one FS we have used the parameters' values based on the assumption that in the considered range of energies, i.e. up to 1.0 MeV, the potentials phases are equal to zero, as the resonance levels with $J = 2^+$ and 0⁺ (see table 16.10 [19]) are not observed in ¹⁶N nucleus spectra in n+¹⁵N-channel. Particularly, it has been obtained that

*VР*² = 500.0 MeV, *Р*² = 1.0 Fm-2…………………………………………………………………..(3)

Calculation of *P*-phases with such potential at energy up to 1.0 MeV leads to their values less than 0.1°.

The potential of the $1+3D_2$ -ground state without bound FS must correctly reproduce the binding energy of ¹⁶N nucleus ground state with J^{π} , $T = 2^{-}$, 1 in n+¹⁵N-channel at 2.491 MeV [19]. Also this potential must reasonably describe a root mean square radius of $16N$ nucleus, experimental value of which evidently mustn't exceed a lot ¹⁶O nucleus radius equal to 2.710(15) Fm [19]. Note, that the experimental radius of 15 N nucleus is equal to 2.612(9) Fm [18]. In these calculations we use a zero charge radius of neutron the mass radius of which is equal to proton radius 0.8775(51) Fm [28]. As a result the following parameters for the potential of ¹⁶N nucleus ground state in n+¹⁵N-channel were obtained

$$
V_{g,s}
$$
 = 49.5356532 MeV, $\alpha_{g,s}$ = 0.07 Fm⁻².................
14

The potential leads to the binding energy -2.49100003 MeV at the finite-difference method accuracy 10 8 MeV, the root mean square radius 2.63 Fm and mass radius 2.76 Fm. For the AC defined in work [33] and written in the dimensionless form

$$
\chi_L(R) = \sqrt{2k_0} \ C_0 W_{-\eta A + 1/2} (2k_0 R)
$$

value 0.96(1) was obtained over the range $6+19$ Fm. Error of the constant is defined by its averaging over the mentioned above distance range. In work [34] this AC has a value 0.85

Fm^{-1/2}, that after the recalculation to the dimensionless quantity at $\sqrt{2k_0}$ =0.821 leads to value 1.04. This value differs from the other one obtained above only on 10%. The recalculation of the AC is needed because in work [34] other definition of the AC was used

$$
\chi_A(P)=X\Omega_{-\eta A+1/2}(2\kappa_0 P),
$$

that differs from the used here definition for a factor $\sqrt{2k_0}$.

The ground state potential is constructed relatively unambiguously because its depth depends on the number of the bound states allowed or forbidden in this partial wave, and the potential width is defined by the AC value.

For additional control of calculation of the ground state energy the variation method [9] was used. This method for the grid dimension *N*=10 and independent variation of parameters for potential (4) let to obtain the energy -2.49100001 MeV. The value of the AC is equal to 0.96(1) over the range $9\div 24$ Fm, and the charge radius doesn't differ from other one obtained above within the finite-difference method. As for the basis dimension increasing the variation energy decreases and gives the upper limit of true binding energy [9], and for the stride parameter decreasing and steps number increasing the finite-difference energy increases [9], so the real binding energy in such potential can take on the average value - 2.49100002(1) MeV. Thus, the accuracy of calculation of two-body binding energy of the nucleus by using two methods (the finite-difference method and the variation method) and two different and independent computer programs is equal to $\pm 10^{-8}$ MeV = ± 10 meV. This value is agree with the primordially given in the finite-difference method accuracy in case of calculation of two-cluster system binding energy.

Thereby the intercluster potentials have been constructed on the basis of the definite assumptions, i.e. their parameters were fixed for the correct description of the elastic scattering processes phases and the bound states characteristics. In whole it is succeeded to reproduce the available experimental data for the scattering phases, ^{16}N nucleus levels spectra and other characteristics of the bound states of this nucleus in $n^{15}N$ -channel. In addition the suggested potentials of scattering and bound states satisfy the given above classification of the clusters orbital states according to the Young diagrams. Obtained potentials let further to consider the *E*1-transitions from the various *P*-states of n+¹⁵N scattering to $16N$ nucleus ground state which is bound in $n+15N$ -channel. nucleus by using two methods (the finite-difference method and the variation method) and
wo different and independent computer programs is equal to \pm 10 meV. This
alaue is agree with the primordially given in the finite-

4. TOTAL CROSS SECTIONS

Beginning the immediate consideration of the results for mentioned above *E*1-transitions to the ground state and the first three excited states of 16 N nucleus let's note, that we succeeded to find the experimental data [35-38] for the total cross-section for ${}^{15}N(n,y)$ ¹⁶N process only at three energy values 25, 152 and 370 keV [39], these results are presented in Fig. 2 by the black dots. The results of our calculation of the total cross-section of

cross-sections for $\sigma (E1, ^3P_1 \rightarrow ^3D_2) + \sigma (E1, ^1P_1 \rightarrow ^1D_2)$ transitions also to the ground state are presented by dashed line, and their sum is given by solid curve. In these calculations the given above potentials (2)-(4) were used.

Due to the large width of the phase shift resonance, which is given by *Р*1-potential (2), the resonance width of the total cross-sections in these calculations is some overestimated, that leads to some overestimated value of the cross-section at energy 370 keV [39] which is close by resonance.

Then it may be noted, that if the parameters of the P_1 -resonance potential are fixed over the phase resonance rather unambiguously, and for the bound state they are definitely selected on the basis of the description of its characteristics, so the parameters of the ³*P*₂-potential with the FS (3) leading to zero phases may take on other values. However, if one use, for example, more narrow potential with parameters 1000 MeV and 2.0 Fm^2 which also leads to the near-zero phases, the results of the cross-sections calculations for the transition to the ground state differ on the value about 1%. This result demonstrates the weak influence of such potential geometry on the capture total cross-sections. Here only the near-zero value of scattering phase is important.

Fig. 2. Total cross-sections of ¹⁵N(n,)¹⁶N. Experimental data: – [39]. Curves correspond to the calculation of the total cross-sections for the transitions to the ground state in the region 1 eV –1.0 MeV

So the intercluster potential of the bound state constructed on the basis of quite evident requirements of the description of the binding energy, the root mean square radii of ¹⁶N nucleus and values of the AC in $n+15N$ -channel let in whole to reproduce correctly the available experimental data for ${}^{15}N(n,\gamma){}^{16}N$ total cross-sections at low energies [39]. In addition all used $n+15N$ -potentials were constructed on the basis of the given above classification of the FS and AS according to the Young diagrams. However, it is difficult to draw some conclusions if there are available only three experimental points in the total cross-sections at 25÷370 keV [39]. So in the future it is desirable that the more detailed measurements of such cross-sections in the energy range from $1\div 10$ keV to $1.0\div 1.2$ MeV will be made. These measurements must totally define resonance width of this reaction at 921 keV [19] and the cross-section value at the resonance energy, what let to compare it with the results of these calculations which predict the cross-section in the resonance approximately equal to $180 \mu b$.

Further clarify the effect of number of FS in the intercluster potentials to results of the total cross sections calculations. If we use the potential without the FS with the depth 5302.745 MeV and α = 20.0 Fm⁻² which leads to much the same width of resonance at 921 keV and doesn't conform with the given above classification of the forbidden states, so the results of calculation of the ground state capture total cross-sections of considered reaction are

practically the same as the results shown by dashed curve obtained for potential (2). In addition, for the subsequent comparison of the results the ground state potential with one FS, i.e. incompatible with the given above classification, can be used. Let's consider, for example, the parameters

$$
V_{\text{g.s.}} = 151.424599 \text{ MeV}, \ \alpha_{\text{g.s.}} = 0.11 \text{ Fm}^{-2},
$$

which lead to the binding energy 2.491000 MeV at the finite-difference method accuracy 10^{-6} MeV, the charge and mass radii 2.63 Fm and 2.77 Fm correspondingly and much the same asymptotic constant equal to 0.97. In this case the results of calculation of the sum total cross-sections for the ground state capture are practically the same as results shown by solid line for potentials (2-4). *Physical Science International Journal, 4(4): 636-648, 2

and

and idion, for the subsequent comparison of the results the ground state potential (2),

ES, i.e. incompatible with the given above classification, can be us*

Now let's notice, as the calculated cross-section is practically the straight line at the lowest energies from 1 eV to 10 keV (see solid curve in Fig. 2), then it may be approximated by the simple function of the form

The given constant's value 0.9907 μ b·keV^{-1/2} has been defined over one point in the crosssections at the minimal energy equal to 1 eV. Then it was found that the absolute value

$$
M(E) = \left| \left[\sigma_{\text{ap}}(E) - \sigma_{\text{theor}}(E) \right] / \sigma_{\text{theor}}(E) \right|
$$

.

practically the same as the results shown by dashed curve obtained for potential (2). In
eddition, for the subsequent comparison of the results the ground state potential with one
FS, i.e. incompatible with the given abov of the relative departure of the calculated theoretical cross-section and approximation of this cross-section by the given above function at energies less than 10 keV is about 0.1%. If it is assumed, that this form of energy dependence of the total cross-section will be also conserved at lower energies, then one may give estimate of the cross-section value which, for example, at energy 1 meV (10 3 eV = 10 6 keV) is equal to 10 3 $_{\rm \mu b.}$

5. CONCLUSION

As a result the total cross-sections for the considered ${}^{15}N(n,\gamma){}^{16}N$ reaction at low energies weakly depend on the number of the FS in the interaction potentials. In other words if we use the phase-equivalent potentials of scattering and the bound states interaction, which are leading to the same quality of description of the basic characteristics of the bound states, notably, the asymptotic constant, then the results of the capture total cross-sections calculation practically don't depend on the FS number. This conclusion is opposite in whole to the observations made earlier for analysis of many other light nuclei in the cluster channels and capture reactions with them [6-12].

Considered here system and the capture process are the certain exceptions to the earlier observed strong dependence of the total cross-sections on the FS number in the definite partial potential, i.e. in the intercluster interaction for the given orbital momentum [6-12]. Usually the potentials with "incorrect" number of the FS led to much more difference between the cross-sections. In this case the FS number practically doesn't influence on the calculation results which now depend only on the resonance level width obtained on the basis of the used elastic P_1 -scattering potential.

In conclusion let's note, that by this time there are 20 cluster systems considered by us on the basis of the potential cluster model with the orbital states classification according to the Young diagrams [6-12,17,21-24,40-43]. In these systems it is succeeded to obtain the quite reasonable results for the description of characteristics of the radiative nucleons or light clusters capture processes on atomic nuclei. In addition we always used the intercluster potentials consistent with the phases of the elastic scattering of clusters in the initial channel and characteristics of the bound states of nuclei generated in the reaction. Properties of these cluster nuclei and some characteristics of their bound states in the considerable cluster channels are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Nuclei and cluster systems characteristics and our references in which the radiative capture processes with these particles were considered

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In conclusion authors express their heartfelt gratitude to Dzhazairov-Kakhramanov A.V. and Yarmukhamedov R. for the discussion of some issues touched upon in this work.

This work was supported by the Grant Program No. 0151/GF2 of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan: The study of thermonuclear processes in the primordial nucleosynthesis of the Universe.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Barnes CA, Clayton DD, Schramm DN. Essays in Nuclear Astrophysics Presented to William A. Fowler Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press; 1982.
- 2. Adelberger EG, Garcı´a A, Hamish Robertson RG, Snover KA, Balantekin AB, Heeger K. et al Solar fusion cross sections. II. The pp chain and CNO cycles. Rev. Mod. Phys. 2011;83:195-245.
- 3. Heil M, F Ppeler KA, Wiescher M, Mengoni A. et al. The (n,y) cross section of ⁷Li. Astrophys. J. 1998;507:997-1002; Guimaraes V, Bertulani CA. Light radioactive nuclei capture reactions with phenomenological potential models// arXiv:0912.0221v1 [nuclth] 1 Dec 2009. 9 pages.; Masayuki Igashira and Toshiro Ohsaki. Neutron capture nucleosynthesis in the Universe. Sci. Tech. Adv. Materials. 2004;5:567-573; Nagai Y, Shima T, Suzuki TS, Sato H, Kikuchi T, Kii T, et al. Fast neutron capture reactions in nuclear astrophysics. Hyperfine Interactions. 1996;03:43-48; Liu ZH, Lin CJ, Zhang HQ, Li ZC, Zhang JS, Wu YW, et al. Asymptotic normalization coefficients and neutron halo of the excited states in ^{12}B and ^{13}C . Phys. Rev. 2001;C64:034312-1–5.
- 4. Kapitonov IM, Ishkhanov BS, Tutyn IA. Nucleosynthesis in the Universe: Moscow: Librokom: 2009. Russian.
- 5. Orito M, Kajino T, Boyd RN, Mathews GJ. Geometrical Effects of Baryon Density Inhomogeneities on Primordial Nucleosynthesis. Astrophys. J. 1997;488:515-523. Vonlanthen P, Rauscher T, Winteler C, Puy D, Signore M, Dubrovich V. et al Chemistry of heavy elements in the Dark Ages. Astronomy and Astrophysics 2009;503:47-59.
- 6. Dubovichenko SB, Dzhazairov-Kakhramanov AV, Burkova NA. Neutron radiative capture by ²H, 6 Li, 7 Li, 12 C and 13 C at astrophysical energies. Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. 2013;22:1350028-1–52.
- 7. Dubovichenko SB, Dzhazairov-Kakhramanov AV. Neutron radiative capture by ${}^{2}H$, ${}^{6}Li$. 7 Li, 12 C, 13 C, 14 C and ${}^{14}N$ at astrophysical energies. The Universe Evolution: Astrophysical and Nuclear Aspects: New-York: NOVA Sci. Pub. 2013: (In press)
- 8. Dubovichenko SB. Neutron Capture by Light Nuclei at Astrophysical Energies. Phys. Part. Nucl. 2013;44:803-847.
- 9. Dubovichenko SB. Thermonuclear Processes of the Universe. New-York: NOVA Sci. Publ. 2012.

Available:https://www.novapublishers.com/catalog/product_info.php?products_id=311 25.

10. Dubovichenko SB, Uzikov YuN, Phys. Part. Nucl. 2011;42:251-301. Available: http://www.springerlink.com/content/f4681n1654732860.

- 11. Dubovichenko SB, Dzhazairov-Kakhramanov AV. The Big Bang: Theory, Assumptions and Problems. New-York: NOVA Sci. Publ. 2012;1-60. Available:https://www.novapublishers.com/catalog/product_info.php?products_id=211 09.
- 12. Dubovichenko SB, Dzhazairov-Kakhramanov AV. Examination of astrophysical Sfactors of p²H, p⁶Li, p⁷Li, p¹²C and p¹³C radiative capture reactions // Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. 2012;**21**:1250039-1–44.
- 13. Neudatchin VG, Kukulin VI, Pomerantsev VN, Sakharuk AA. Generalized potential model description of mutual scattering of the lightest p^2H , p^3He nuclei and the corresponding photonuclear reactions. Phys. Rev. 1992;45**:**1512-1527.
- 14. Neudatchin VG, Struzhko BG, Lebedev VM. Supermultiplet potential model of the interaction of light clusters and unified description of various nuclear reactions. Phys. Part. Nucl. 2005;36:468-519.
- 15. Kukulin VI, Neudatchin VG, Obukhovsky IT, Smirnov YuF. Clusters as subsystems in light nuclei in Clustering Phenomena in Nuclei ed Wildermuth K, Kramer P: Branschweig: Vieweg. 1983;3*.*
- 16. Dubovichenko SB. Tensor ²H⁴He interactions in the potential cluster model involving forbidden states. Phys. Atom. Nucl. 1998;61**:**162-168; Analysis of photonuclear processes in the Nd and $d³$ He systems on the basis of cluster models for potentials with for-bidden states. Phys. Atom. Nucl. 1995;58**:**1174-1180; Photonuclear processes in channels p^3H and n^3He of ⁴He nucleus in potential cluster models. Phys. Atom. Nucl. 1995;58:1295-1302; Dubovichenko SB, Dzhazairov-Kakhramanov AV. Photonuclear processes on 7 Li and 7 Be in the cluster model for potentials with forbidden states. Phys. Atom. Nucl. 1995;58:579-585; Coulomb form-factors of the lithium nuclei on based potentials with forbidden state. Phys. Atom. Nucl. 1994;57**:733-740**; Potential description of elastic nd, dd, n⁴He, and d³He scattering. Soviet J. Nucl. Phys. 1990;51:971-977.
- 17. Dubovichenko SB. Radiative n¹⁴N capture at astrophysical energies. Rus. Phys. J. 2013;56:1-8.
- 18. Ajzenberg-Selove F. Energy level of light nuclei A=13, 14, 15. Nucl. Phys. 1991;523**:**1-196.
- 19. Tilley DR, Weller HR, Cheves CM. Energy levels of light nuclei A=16, 17. Nucl. Phys. 1993;565**:**1-183.
- 20. Itzykson C, Nauenberg M. Unitary groups: Representations and decompositions. Rev. Mod. Phys. 196,38:95-105.
- 21. Dubovichenko SB, Dzhazairov-Kakhramanov AV. Astrophysical S-factor of the radiative p^2H capture. Eur. Phys. J. 2009;39:139-143.
- 22. Dubovichenko SB. Contribution of the *M*1 process to the astrophysical *S*-factor of the *p* ²H radiative capture. Rus. Phys. J. 2011;54:157-164. Radiative *n* ²Н capture at low energies. Rus. Phys. J. 2012;55:138-145.
- 23. Dubovichenko SB, Dzhazairov-Kakhramanov AV, Burkova NA. Astrophysical S-factor for the radiative capture reaction ${}^{13}C(p,y)$ ¹⁴N. Jour. Nucl. Part. Phys. 2012;2:6-10. Dubovichenko SB. Astrophysical *S*-factor for the radiative-capture reaction *p*¹³C → 14N*γ*. Phys. Atom. Nucl. 2012;75:173-181.
- 24. Dubovichenko SB, Burkova BA, Dzhazairov-Kakhramanov AV. Cross Sections for the Astrophysical Neutron Radiative Capture on ${}^{12}C$ and ${}^{13}C$ Nuclei. Jour. Nucl. Part. Phys. 2013;3:108-120.
- 25. Angulo С, Arnould M, Rayet M, Descouvemont P, Baye D, Leclercq Willain C et al A compilation of charged-particle induced thermonuclear reaction rates. Nucl. Phys. 1999;656:3-183.
- 26. Dubovichenko SB, Dzhazairov-Kakhramanov AV. Electromagnetic effects in light nuclei the cluster potential model. Phys. Part. Nucl. 1997;28:615-641.
- 27. Kukulin VI, Krasnopol'sky VM, Voronchev VT, Sazonov PB. Detailed study of the cluster structure of light nuclei in a three body model. I. Ground state of 6 Li. Nucl. Phys. 1984;417:128-156; Detailed study of the cluster structure of light nuclei in a three body model. II. The spectrum of low lying of nuclei with A=6. Nucl. Phys. 1986;453:365-388; Kukulin VI, Voronchev VT, Kaipov TD, Eramzhyan RA. Detailed study of the cluster structure of light nuclei in a three body model. III. Electromagnetic structure of ⁶Li. Nucl. Phys. 1990;517**:**221-263.
- 28. Available: http://physics.nist.gov/cgi-bin/cuu/Value?mud|search_for=atomnuc!
- 29. Available: http://cdfe.sinp.msu.ru/services/index.en.html
30. Available: http://physics.nist.gov/cuu/Constants/
- Available: http://physics.nist.gov/cuu/Constants/
- 31. Dubovichenko SB, Dzhazairov-Kakhramanov AV. Radiative n⁷Li capture at Astrophysical Energie. Ann. der Phys. 2012;524:850-861.
- 32. Burkova NA, Zhaksybekova KA, Zhusupov MA. One-nucleon spectroscopy of light nuclei. Phys. Part. Nucl. 2009;40:162-205.
- 33. Plattner GR, Viollier RD. Coupling constants of commonly used nuclear probes. Nucl. Phys. 1981;365:8-12.
- 34. Huang JT, Bertulani CA, Guimaraes V. Radiative capture of protons and neutrons at astrophysical energies and potential models. Atom. Data and Nucl. Data Tabl. 2010;96:824-847.
- 35. Available: http://cdfe.sinp.msu.ru/exfor/index.php
- 36. Available: http://www.nndc.bnl.gov/exfor/exfor00.htm
- 37. Available: http://xxx.lanl.gov/find/nucl-ex
- 38. Herndl H, Hofinger R, Jank J, Oberhummer H Reaction rates for Neutron Capture Reactions to C-, N- and O-isotopes to the neutron rich side of stability. Phys. Rev. 1999;60**:**064614.
- 39. Meissner J, Schatz H, Herndl H, Wiescher M. Neutron capture cross section of ¹⁵N at stellar energies. Phys. Rev. 1996;53:977-981.
- 40. Dubovichenko SB. Radiative n¹⁵Ne capture at low energies. Rus. Phys. J. 2013;56:7-15.
- 41. Dubovichenko SB, Dzhazairov-Kakhramanov AV. Astrophysical *S*factor of the radiative⁴He¹²C-capture reaction at low energies. Bull. Russ. Acad. of Sci. Ser. Phys. 2011;75:1517-1522.
- 42. Dubovichenko SB, Burkova NA. Astrophysical *n* ⁹Вe capture. Rus. Phys. J. 2013;56: 298-306.
- 43. Dubovichenko SB. Neutron capture on exited state ⁹Be nucleus with resonant at 622 keV // J. Exp. and Theor. Phys. 2013;144(4): (In press).

___ *© 2014 Dubovichenko et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history.php?iid=381&id=33&aid=3490