



# Enhancing Mungbean Resistance to Yellow Mosaic Virus: Insights from Biochemical Studies

M. Shilpa <sup>a</sup>, B. Manjunath <sup>a\*</sup>, C.M. Sunil <sup>b</sup>, Devaraja <sup>c</sup>,  
Gayathri <sup>d</sup> and N. Nagaraju <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Plant Pathology, College of Agriculture, GKVK, Bengaluru – 560 065, Karnataka, India.

<sup>b</sup> AICRP on Small Millets, ZARS, VC Farm, Mandya, Karnataka -571 405, India.

<sup>c</sup> Department of Plant Pathology, College of Sericulture, Chinthamani - 563125, Karnataka, India.

<sup>d</sup> Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, GKVK, Bengaluru – 560 065, Karnataka, India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/jsrr/2024/v30i72258>

## Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/120286>

Original Research Article

Received: 13/05/2024

Accepted: 15/07/2024

Published: 15/07/2024

## ABSTRACT

Mungbean is one among the important and major pulse crop for supplementation of protein in subtropical zones of the world. Apart from providing protein in the diet, it also has the appreciable quality of helping the symbiotic root rhizobia by contributing to fix atmospheric nitrogen enriches the fertility of soil. Among the biotic factors, Mungbean yellow mosaic virus (MYMV) is the major bottleneck for pulse producers. The current study is aimed at estimation of biochemical enzymes

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [manjunathkrishi@gmail.com](mailto:manjunathkrishi@gmail.com);

**Cite as:** Shilpa, M., B. Manjunath, C.M. Sunil, Devaraja, Gayathri, and N. Nagaraju. 2024. "Enhancing Mungbean Resistance to Yellow Mosaic Virus: Insights from Biochemical Studies". *Journal of Scientific Research and Reports* 30 (7):1100-1107. <https://doi.org/10.9734/jsrr/2024/v30i72258>.

like peroxidase, polyphenol oxidase, catalase, phenols and sugars contributing for resistance in mungbean genotypes. Fourteen Mungbean genotypes including one susceptible check MYB-11 and KKM-3 (UASB released variety) were screened during *kharif* 2022 and summer 2023. To determine MYMV induced biochemical changes in mungbean, the infected leaf samples were collected from resistant, moderately resistant, moderately susceptible and susceptible genotypes. The varied level of different defense inducing enzymes from all the 14 mungbean genotypes was estimated. The biochemical constituents such as total sugar, total phenol content and enzyme activity of Peroxidase (PO), Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and catalase activity were estimated. The present study indicated that the defence inducing enzymes, i.e, peroxidase, polyphenol oxidase, catalase, phenols and sugars, showed comparatively higher level of resistance in genotypes *viz.*, MYB- 6, 7, 8 and 9 when compared to the susceptible check (MYB-11).

**Keywords:** *Enzymes; genotypes; mungbean; MYMV; sugars; phenols; resistance; susceptible.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

“Mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek] is one of the important pulse crops in India. It is an important source of dietary protein across South Asia where the diet is mostly cereal based. It is a short duration crop in humid and sub-humid regions” [1]. “Yellow mosaic disease is caused by Mungbean Yellow Mosaic Virus (MYMV), Mungbean Yellow Mosaic India virus (MYMIV), Horsegram Yellow Mosaic Virus (HgYMV) and Dolichos Yellow Mosaic Virus (DoYMV)” [2]. “MYMV is a major threat to legume crops for successful cultivation. In pulses, the yield loss from viral diseases accounts for up to 80%, while in mungbean, the MYMV alone causes up to 80 to 100% loss” [3].

The major bottleneck in the mungbean production is its massive gap in the productivity though it is very popular crop throughout the world with the introduction of improved varieties, breeding for several resistant cultivars, and better management for the production techniques, mungbean can take up its stand in the world to continue to be an excellent choice for farmers. Breeding for resistant varieties is considered to be the most important and environmental friendly approach to come back from several drawbacks which are presently witnessing in the mungbean. Most of the efficient cultivars are prone to susceptibility over a period of time due to several factors. Thereby screening of resistant genotypes based on biochemical and molecular approaches are gaining importance.

As the disease could not be managed satisfactorily by insecticides or any chemical application, other alternatives of controlling the disease should be designed. Therefore, the present study was conducted for the confirmation

of biochemical basis of resistance to MYMV infection in mungbean genotypes.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Evaluation of MYMV under Field Conditions

The field experiments were conducted at the experimental plot, Main Research Station, Hebbal, Bengaluru to identify the potential resistance genotypes against MYMV. Fourteen Mungbean genotypes including one susceptible check MYB-11 and KKM-3 (UASB released variety) were screened during *kharif* 2022 and summer 2023. These 13 genotypes were obtained from Asian Vegetable Research and Development Center (AVRDC) Taiwan formerly which is known as World Vegetable Centre. The Mungbean genotypes were sown with spacing of 30 x 10 cm, with a row length of 2.5 m in RCBD design with two replications. The recommended package of practices was followed for raising the crop. Plants were allowed for natural infection of MYMV. The observations were recorded on symptom expression, disease incidence, severity and yield during the experiment. Mungbean yellow mosaic disease severity was recorded at 30, 45 and 55 DAS from all the plants from each row. Severity of disease was scored according to phenotypic disease severity scale developed from AVRDC. The mungbean genotypes were categorized based on modified phenotypic disease severity scale of AVRDC. The per cent disease index (PDI) was computed by using the following formula [4].

$$\text{Per cent disease index (\%)} = \frac{\text{Sum of numerical observation} \times 100}{\text{Maximum disease scale} \times \text{No. of observations}}$$

## 2.2 Biochemical Variation Studies

To determine MYMV induced biochemical changes in mungbean, the infected leaf samples were collected from resistant, moderately resistant, moderately susceptible and susceptible genotypes. The varied level of different defense inducing enzymes from all the 14 mungbean genotypes was estimated. The biochemical estimations were carried out from 15 to 20 days old freshly collected leaf samples from the mungbean sown at the experimental field Main Research Station, Hebbal, Bengaluru. The biochemical constituents such as total sugar, total phenol content and enzyme activity of Peroxidase (PO), Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and catalase activity were estimated. The total sugar was estimated by Hedge and Hofreiter [5],

Dubois et al. [6] and total phenol by Sadasivam and Manickam [7], peroxidase enzyme activity was estimated by spectrophotometer method as described by Hartee [8], polyphenol oxidase and catalase enzyme activity by Mayer et al [9].

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The genotypes were categorized into different groups by using modified AVRDC scale. The MYB - 6, 7, 8, 9 and 12 belongs to resistant group with per cent disease index in the range of 10.01- 25 in all the seasons. The other genotypes with MYMV infection in different seasons were categorized into different groups as indicated in the Table 1.

**Table 1. Grouping of mungbean genotypes evaluated against MYMV during different seasons (AVRDC Scale)**

| Score | Reaction                    | % Disease index | Kharif 2022             | Summer 2023          |
|-------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| 1     | Highly resistant (HR)       | 0.01-10         | Nil                     | Nil                  |
| 2     | Resistant (R)               | 10.01 -25       | MYB 6, 7, 8 , 9 & 12    | MYB 6, 7, 8 , 9 & 12 |
| 3     | Moderately Resistant (MR)   | 25.01 - 40      | MYB 1,5,10, 13 & KKM -3 | MYB 1, 3, 5 & KKM -3 |
| 4     | Moderately Susceptible (MS) | 40.01 – 60      | MYB 2, 3 & 4            | MYB 2, 4, 10 & 13    |
| 5     | Susceptible (S)             | 60.01 – 80      | MYB - 11                | MYB -11              |
| 6     | Highly Susceptible (HS)     | >80             | Nil                     | Nil                  |

**Table 2. Estimation of peroxidase activity (PO) ( $\Delta$ Abs  $\text{min}^{-1} \text{g}^{-1}$ ) in mungbean genotypes**

| Line                        | Peroxidase activity (PO) ( $\Delta$ Abs $\text{min}^{-1} \text{g}^{-1}$ ) | Group acc to R software |
|-----------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| MYB - 1                     | 0.60459   | FG                      |
| MYB - 2                     | 0.25433   | G                       |
| MYB - 3                     | 0.22412   | G                       |
| MYB - 4                     | 0.66222   | FG                      |
| MYB - 5                     | 2.38711   | DE                      |
| MYB - 6                     | 3.34316   | B                       |
| MYB - 7                     | 4.22167   | A                       |
| MYB - 8                     | 3.13427   | BC                      |
| MYB - 9                     | 2.07086   | DE                      |
| MYB - 10                    | 1.75290   | E                       |
| MYB - 11                    | 0.41309   | FF                      |
| MYB - 12                    | 0.96904   | F                       |
| MYB - 13                    | 2.49897   | CD                      |
| KKM - 3                     | 0.96640   | F                       |
| <b>S.Em<math>\pm</math></b> | <b>0.11735</b>  |                         |
| <b>C.D. (P = 0.01)</b>      | <b>0.7400642</b>  |                         |
| <b>CV</b>                   | <b>31.26241</b>   |                         |

**Table 3. Estimation of Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) ( $\Delta\text{Abs min}^{-1} \text{g}^{-1}$ ) in mungbean genotypes**

| Line                        | Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) ( $\Delta\text{Abs min}^{-1} \text{g}^{-1}$ ) | Group acc to R software |
|-----------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| MYB - 1                     | 1.350  | BCD                     |
| MYB - 2                     | 0.950  | DEF                     |
| MYB - 3                     | 0.780  | DEFG                    |
| MYB - 4                     | 0.920  | CDEFG                   |
| MYB - 5                     | 0.570  | EFG                     |
| MYB - 6                     | 1.655  | ABC                     |
| MYB - 7                     | 2.120  | A                       |
| MYB - 8                     | 1.440  | BCD                     |
| MYB - 9                     | 1.110  | CDE                     |
| MYB - 10                    | 0.765  | DEFG                    |
| MYB - 11                    | 0.195  | G                       |
| MYB - 12                    | 2.020  | AB                      |
| MYB - 13                    | 0.370  | FG                      |
| KKM - 3                     | 0.202  | G                       |
| <b>S.Em<math>\pm</math></b> | <b>0.11735</b>   |                         |
| <b>C.D. (P = 0.01)</b>      | <b>0.7400642</b>   |                         |
| <b>CV</b>                   | <b>31.26241</b>  |                         |

Gupta and Mishra [10] screened mungbean and urdbean lines of around 54 and 43, respectively against MYMV in field conditions. Among them, 11 genotypes of mungbean and 16 of urdbean were found resistant to MYMV. Mohan et al. [11] evaluated the resistance source against MYMV in two different locations. They screened for 120 mungbean genotypes and found that the genotypes TM-11-07, EC 398897, TM11-34, IPM-02-03, PDM-139, IPM-02-14, Pusa-0672, MH-521, Pusa-0871, and CO-7 showed resistance in both the locations and these genotypes would be utilized as donors to bring MYMV resistant lines.

### 3.1 Variation of Different Enzymes Activity in Mungbean Genotypes Due to MYMV Infection

The activity of peroxidase was tested in all the 14 mungbean genotypes where we can find the significant difference of peroxidase activity with respect to its resistance levels. The highest activity is found in the MYB-7 i.e.  $4.22167 \Delta\text{Abs min}^{-1} \text{g}^{-1}$ , and the least activity is seen in the MYB-3 with  $0.22412 \Delta\text{Abs min}^{-1} \text{g}^{-1}$ , and  $0.41309 \Delta\text{Abs min}^{-1} \text{g}^{-1}$  was noticed in the susceptible check MYB-11 which is less compared to the resistant lines MYB-6, 7, 8, 9, and 12. (Table 2). "Increased peroxidase activity in resistant genotypes than susceptible genotypes because cell wall of plants appears to be a major site for defense-related peroxidase polymerization reactions such as lignification, suberization and cross-linking of structural cell

wall proteins. The increased PO activity observed in the present study may be triggered by cellular damage caused by virus replication" [12]. Present experimental results were in accordance with the reports of Singh et al. [13] they found that "values of peroxidase activity in muskmelon plants infected with downy mildew pathogen. It showed greater activity of the peroxidase in resistant plants than the susceptible plants".

The highest level of PPO was found in the MYB-7 followed by MYB-12, 6, 8 and the least activity was observed as 0.195 c in MYB-11 which is a susceptible check (Table 3). PPO activity has been reported to increase following infection by yellow mosaic disease in mungbean and urdbean [14] and it may be due to activation of latent host enzyme, solubilization of host PPO which is normally particulate or even due to de novo synthesis [15]. Similar results were also reported by Anuradha et al. [16] where they studied "the biochemical modifications in banana due to Banana Bunchy Top Virus and observed that the level of Polyphenol oxidase enzyme activity was more in resistant plants compared to the susceptible plants".

The catalase is the very important enzyme which will protect the cell from oxidative damage from Reactive oxygen species (ROS). These acts as scavengers. ROS highest activity of catalase is found in the lines like MYB-7, 8, and 9 which is almost nearly equal and lowest was found in the MYB-11 and MYB-2 (Table 4).

**Table 4. Estimation of Catalase activity ( $\Delta\text{Abs min}^{-1} \text{g}^{-1}$ ) in mungbean genotypes**

| Line                        | Catalase activity ( $\Delta\text{Abs min}^{-1} \text{g}^{-1}$ ) | Group acc to R software |
|-----------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| MYB - 1                     | 3.663500  | CD                      |
| MYB - 2                     | 2.335000  | E                       |
| MYB - 3                     | 3.771250  | CD                      |
| MYB - 4                     | 3.242400  | DE                      |
| MYB - 5                     | 4.556500  | BC                      |
| MYB - 6                     | 5.504000  | AB                      |
| MYB - 7                     | 6.012475  | A                       |
| MYB - 8                     | 6.422350  | A                       |
| MYB - 9                     | 6.101100  | A                       |
| MYB - 10                    | 5.280450  | AB                      |
| MYB - 11                    | 2.956600  | AE                      |
| MYB - 12                    | 5.524050  | AB                      |
| MYB - 13                    | 5.607950  | AB                      |
| KKM - 3                     | 3.810350  | CD                      |
| <b>S.Em<math>\pm</math></b> | <b>0.32199296</b>   |                         |
| <b>C.D. (P = 0.01)</b>      | <b>1.217406</b>   |                         |
| <b>CV</b>                   | <b>12.26187</b>   |                         |

**Table 5. Estimation of phenols ( $\Delta\text{Abs min}^{-1} \text{g}^{-1}$ ) in mungbean genotypes**

| Line                        | Phenols ( $\Delta\text{Abs min}^{-1} \text{g}^{-1}$ ) | Group acc to R software |
|-----------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| MYB - 1                     | 87.36460  | K                       |
| MYB - 2                     | 123.73735   | H                       |
| MYB - 3                     | 136.27755   | G                       |
| MYB - 4                     | 81.30100  | L                       |
| MYB - 5                     | 116.10890   | I                       |
| MYB - 6                     | 302.43063   | A                       |
| MYB - 7                     | 286.78740   | B                       |
| MYB - 8                     | 272.52480   | C                       |
| MYB - 9                     | 250.63445   | D                       |
| MYB - 10                    | 198.78490   | E                       |
| MYB - 11                    | 87.19785  | K                       |
| MYB - 12                    | 194.02470   | F                       |
| MYB - 13                    | 105.25590   | J                       |
| KKM - 3                     | 77.72440  | M                       |
| <b>S.Em<math>\pm</math></b> | <b>1.879004</b>                                       |                         |
| <b>C.D. (P = 0.01)</b>      | <b>2.940004</b>                                       |                         |
| <b>CV</b>                   | <b>0.8271537</b>                                      |                         |

Phenols are the defense inducing molecules where the highest amount of phenolic compounds will be present in the resistant lines when compared to the susceptible ones. The highest value of phenol was found to be 302.43063  $\Delta\text{Abs min}^{-1}\text{g}^{-1}$  in MYB-6 followed by 286.78740  $\Delta\text{Abs min}^{-1} \text{g}^{-1}$ , in MYB-7. The lowest amount of phenols was observed in MYB-4 and then followed by MYB-11 which is a susceptible check (Table 5). Resistant plants were synthesis more of phenolic when it has interactions with the virus infection. Phenolic content enhances the mechanical activity of the host cell walls by the production of lignin and suberin which are the main components in the formation of physical

barriers that block the spread of virus. Patel et al. [17] investigated the biochemical changes in mungbean induced by MYMV and reported that phenol was found in decreasing trend in the susceptible leaves as compared to resistant genotypes.

As there is more infection there will be reduced amount of sugars in contrast to healthy ones. The highest amount of sugars was present in the MYB-6 of around 3.3082  $\Delta\text{Abs min}^{-1} \text{g}^{-1}$  followed by MYB-7, 8, and 9, which are categorised under resistant lines. The lowest amount of sugars was present in the susceptible check MYB-11 of about 0.2863  $\Delta\text{Abs min}^{-1} \text{g}^{-1}$  (Table 6). These

**Table 6. Estimation of Total sugars ( $\Delta\text{Abs min}^{-1} \text{g}^{-1}$ ) in mungbean genotypes**

| <b>Line</b>                 | <b>Total sugars (<math>\Delta\text{Abs min}^{-1} \text{g}^{-1}</math>)</b> | <b>Group acc to R software</b> |
|-----------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| MYB - 1                     | 0.8965   | E                              |
| MYB - 2                     | 0.9523   | DE                             |
| MYB - 3                     | 0.5065   | F                              |
| MYB - 4                     | 0.5342   | F                              |
| MYB - 5                     | 0.5698   | F                              |
| MYB - 6                     | 3.3082   | A                              |
| MYB - 7                     | 2.5478   | BB                             |
| MYB - 8                     | 2.5471   | BC                             |
| MYB - 9                     | 1.2285   | CCD                            |
| MYB - 10                    | 0.9635   | D                              |
| MYB - 11                    | 0.2863   | GH                             |
| MYB - 12                    | 2.2587   | C                              |
| MYB - 13                    | 0.6574   | F                              |
| KKM - 3                     | 0.3592   | G                              |
| <b>S.Em<math>\pm</math></b> | <b>0.5526</b>  |                                |
| <b>C.D. (P = 0.01)</b>      | <b>0.95234</b>   |                                |
| <b>CV</b>                   | <b>32.5417</b>   |                                |

results were supported by the results given by Thind et al. [18] as the reduction in amount of starch, reducing sugars, non-reducing sugars, and total sugars in plants infected with yellow mosaic virus as compared to the healthy ones. Sinha and Srivastava [19] reported that the three mungbean genotypes viz., HUM-2, ML-192 and Pusa Baisakhi affected by the Mungbean Yellow Mosaic Virus infection and virus infection had significant impact on total sugar content in infected leaves [20-22].

#### 4. CONCLUSION

Fourteen Mungbean genotypes including one susceptible check MYB-11 and KKM-3 (UASB released variety) were screened during *kharif* 2022 and summer 2023. To determine MYMV induced biochemical changes in mungbean, the infected leaf samples were collected from resistant, moderately resistant, moderately susceptible and susceptible genotypes. The varied level of different defense inducing enzymes from all the 14 mungbean genotypes was estimated. The biochemical constituents such as total sugar, total phenol content and enzyme activity of Peroxidase (PO), Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and catalase activity were estimated. The present study indicated that the defence inducing enzymes, i.e., peroxidase, polyphenol oxidase, catalase, phenols and sugars, showed comparatively higher level of resistance in genotypes viz., MYB- 6, 7, 8 and 9 when compared to the susceptible check (MYB-11).

#### DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology.

#### Details of the AI usage are given below:

1. Drillbit

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are highly grateful to Department of Plant Pathology, College of Agriculture, GKVK, Bengaluru for encouragement and financial support in conducting the research work.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

1. Jayappa RHK, Sab J, Devamani BD. Status of the mung bean yellow mosaic virus (MYMV) disease in Southern Karnataka. *Int J Pure Appl Biosci.* 2017;5(3):238–244.
2. Mantesh M, Venkatesh, Pankaja NS. The studies on the morphological variability and biochemical changes induced by mungbean yellow mosaic virus (MYMV) in mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) wilczek]. *Indian Phytopathology.* 2020 Sep;73(3): 543-53.
3. Bhanu AN, Singh MN, Srivastava K. Screening mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) wilczek] genotypes for mungbean yellow mosaic virus resistance under natural condition. *Adv Plants Agric Res.* 2017; 7(6):417–420.
4. Wheeler B. An introduction to plant diseases. Wiley Lond. 1969;64:301–307.
5. Hedge JE, Hofreiter BT. Biochemical methods. In: *Carbohydrate chemistry.* 1962;678.
6. Dubois M, Gilles K, Smith F. Colorimetric method for determination of sugar and related substances. *Ann Chem.* 1956; 28:350–356.
7. Sadasivam S, Manickam A. Phenols. *New Age International.* 1996;256.
8. Hartee EF. Modern methods of plant analysis (1st edn). C.B.S. Publishers and Distributors, New Delhi. 1955;106–116.
9. Mayer AM, Harel E, Shaul RB. Assay of catechol oxidase acritical comparison of methods. *Phytochemistry.* 1965;5:783–789.
10. Gupta O, Mishra M. Field resistance in mungbean and urdbean genotypes against yellow mosaic disease. *J. Food Leg.* 2014;27:80-81.
11. Mohan S, Sheeba A, Murugan E, Ibrahim SM. Screening of mungbean germplasm for resistance to mungbean Yellow Mosaic Virus under natural condition. *Indian J. Sci. Technol.* 2014;7(7):891-896.
12. Rathi YS, Bhatt A, Singh US. Biochemical changes in pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Mill sp.] leaves in relation to resistance against sterility mosaic disease. *J Bio Sci.* 1986;10(4):467–474.

13. Singh R, Sindhu A, Singal HR, Singh R. Biochemical basis of resistance in chickpea against Fusarium wilt. *Acta Phytopathol Entomol Hungar.* 2003; 38(1/2):13.
14. Rao KM, Manibhushan R, Rao KM. Phenol metabolism and plant disease resistance. *Acta Phytopathol Hung.* 1988;23(1-2): 103-114.
15. Pitts DI. Changes in the subcellular localization of catalase and o-diphenol oxidase during infection of potato tubers by *Phytophthora erythroseptica*. *Trans Br Mycol Soc.* 1975;65:91-100.
16. Anuradha AK, Gartan SL, Basandrai, D and Kalia V. Blackgram (*Phaseolus mungo*) germplasm evaluation against different diseases. *Indian J Agril Sci.* 1999; 69:506-508.
17. Patel H, Kalaria R, Mahatma M, Chauhan DA. Physiological and biochemical changes induced by mungbean yellow mosaic virus (MYMV) in mungbean. *J Cell Tissue Res.* 2013;34.
18. Thind SK, Monga PK, Kaur N, Cheema SS, Kaur N. Analysis of some biochemical and micronutrient constituents of yellow mosaic infected moong. *Indian J Virol.* 1996;12:157-159.
19. Sinha A, Srivastava M. Biochemical change in mungbean plants infected Mungbean Yellow Mosaic Virus. *Int J Virol.* 2010;6:150-157.
20. Dhole VJ, Reddy KS. Genetic analysis of resistance to mungbean yellow mosaic virus in mungbean (*Vigna radiata*). *Plant Breeding.* 2012 Jun;131(3):414-7.
21. Karthikeyan A, Shobhana VG, Sudha M, Raveendran M, Senthil N, Pandiyan M, Nagarajan P. Mungbean yellow mosaic virus (MYMV): A threat to green gram (*Vigna radiata*) production in Asia. *International Journal of Pest Management.* 2014 Oct 2;60(4):314-24.
22. Shilpa M, Manjunath B, Sunil CM, Sudarshan GK, Nagaraju N. "Identification of resistance gene for mungbean yellow mosaic virus (MYMV) in resistant mungbean genotypes through RGA markers". *Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology.* 2024 27 (6):847-54.  
Available:<https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i6948>.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/120286>