



## Enhancing Micro-propagation of Some Cameroonian Plantain (*Musa paradisiaca* L) Cultivars/Landraces

Dominic, K. Njualem<sup>1,2</sup>, Tange Denis Achiri<sup>3\*</sup>, Amanwi Climson Yuninwenkeh<sup>2</sup>,  
Abdulai Assan Nkuh<sup>4</sup> and Fornkwa Victorine Yaya<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Tropical Agriculture and Natural Resource, Catholic University of Cameroon, P.O.Box 782 Bamenda, NWR, Cameroon.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Crop Production Technology, College of Technology, University of Bamenda, Cameroon.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Cukurova University, 01330 Balcali/Adana, Turkey.

<sup>4</sup>Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agronomy and Agricultural Sciences, University of Dschang, Cameroon.

<sup>5</sup>Institute of Agricultural Research for Development (IRAD), Bambui Center, P.O.Box 80 Bamenda, NW Region, Cameroon.

### **Authors' contributions**

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Authors DKN, ACY, FVY and TDA designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors DKN, TDA and AAN managed the analyses of the study. Author ACY managed the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

### **Article Information**

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2019/v28i630128

#### Editor(s):

(1) Prof. Alejandro Hurtado Salazar, Departamento de Producción Agropecuaria, Fruit Improvement, Physiology of Production, Physiology of Plant Stress, Breeding of Fruits, Universidad de Caldas, Colombia.

#### Reviewers:

(1) Frédéric Ngezahayo, Ecole Normale Supérieure, Burundi.

(2) R. Mahalakshmi, India.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/49964>

**Original Research Article**

**Received 02 May 2019**  
**Accepted 12 July 2019**  
**Published 19 July 2019**

### **ABSTRACT**

**Aims:** This study was conducted to enhance germplasm conservation of some indigenous plantain landraces through tissue culture techniques in Cameroon.

**Study Design:** The experiment was laid out in a completely randomized design (CRD) with three treatments in four replications.

**Place and Duration of Study:** The study was conducted in the tissue culture laboratory of the

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [achiritange@gmail.com](mailto:achiritange@gmail.com);

Institute of Agricultural Research for Development (IRAD), Bambui, Cameroon, in the first half of 2018.

**Methodology:** Explants were gotten from three plantain land races (Kwah, Ngumbe and Sangmoh) gotten from Bambui. Shoot tips were excised and cultured on Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium supplemented with 30 g of sucrose, 5 ml of ascorbic acid, 4 ml of 6- benzylaminopurine (BAP 1 mg/l), 1 ml indole-3- acetic acid (IAA 1 mg/l) and 6 g of agar at pH of  $5.8 \pm 0.1$  for shoot initiation and proliferation. Data was collected over a period of 12 weeks every 4th week on the average number of buds, shoot length, number of leaves, number contaminated and number dead.

**Results:** All the landraces responded positively to shoot tip culture, since they could adapt and regenerate by producing buds with Ngumbe giving the highest mean number (7.0) of buds and Sangmoh giving the lowest (3.0). Analysis of variance revealed significant differences ( $p = 0.05$ ) in most of the parameters measured except for number contaminated. High dead rate was recorded in Kwah with dead mean of 3.0. Ngumbe was found to regenerate better than Kwah and Sangmoh.

**Conclusion:** It is recommended that the three plantain landraces, germplasm be conserved. The ramification of this finding vis-à-vis germplasm conservation and increase productivity is explained.

**Keywords:** Plantain; explants; shoot tip culture; germplasm conservation; IRAD Bambui; *Musa paradisiaca*.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Plantain (hard and starchy), also broadly called banana (soft) is one of the oldest crops known to mankind [1]. According to [2,3,4] there is archaeological and linguistic evidence that plantain originated from Southeast Asia about 7000 years ago, and was subsequently distributed all over the globe by migrants and travelers. Nowadays, the secondary loci with enormous genetic diversity are Africa, Latin America and the Pacific [5]. Heslop-Harrison and Schwarzacher [3] reported that, there are more than one thousand landraces of domesticated plantain in the tropical and subtropical regions. *Musa* spp is ranked as the fourth most important food in the world after rice, wheat, and maize [5]. *Musa* spp are now a key staple for many people especially in the Tropics and Subtropics; consumed as raw edible banana (desserts) or cooked plantains (boiled, fried, brewed, powdered or roasted) [5,6]. *Musa* spp provide about 25% food energy requirement for about 70 million people. They are rich in carbohydrates, protein, and vitamins (A, B1, B2 and C) [7].

In Cameroon, plantain is predominantly cultivated in 7 regions: Center, East, Littoral, South West, North West, West and South [8,9] in small monocrop farms, or mixed with other crops such as cocoa, coffee and cocoyams.

It is the second most preferred staple after yam (*Dioscorea* spp.), with a huge potential for rural income generation [10]. It is important for food security in Cameroon [11], where consumption can reach 100 kg/capita/year and it is currently

developing into a major export crop to Southern neighbours.

Plantain is susceptible to insect, nematode and disease attack, making monocrop on a large scale or backyard farming unattainable in many instances. Also given that plantain has a slow sucker production rate [12], and with an ever-increasing market demand [13], large, healthy and uniform production is required. This can only be attained from healthy, uniform, and large quantities of planting material.

Micro-propagation has gained prominence in banana and plantain breeding programs worldwide [14,15] especially for commercial purposes [12]. The benefits of *in vitro* micro-propagation are enormous: plantlets from this method establish faster, healthier, stronger, shorter production cycle and higher yield than those produced via conventional methods [16]. In addition, uniform-aged plantlets can be guaranteed, suitable for mass production for domestic and export markets. More critically, the ease of travel in recent decades has facilitated rapid distribution of genetic material all over the world. [17] argues that such movement of genetic material have implication for biodiversity in the new areas, in many cases competing with locally adapted varieties, poor resistance to pest and disease leading to a social and an economic cost, thus the need to preserve local valuable germplasm. Rapid propagation can also serve as an easy method of preserving the valuable germplasm. In this study, the performance of Tubah plantain (local) landraces in growth media as a means of germplasm preservation and rapid

multiplication was evaluated. We selected the local and indigenous cultivars since they are generally likely to be more useful and tolerant to local conditions, and can attain peak yield with minimal inputs.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Study Location and Source of Plant Material

The plant materials (cultivars) were obtained from Tubah subdivision of the North West Region of Cameroon. Tubah Sub-division is located between latitudes 4°50' to 5°20'N and longitudes 10°35' to 11°59'E of the Green Wish Meridian [18]. Tubah is one of the five Sub-divisions making up Mezam Division in the North West Region of Cameroon. It is bordered by Belo in Boyo Division (North), Bafut (North West), to the South and South East by Ndop and Balikumbat in Ngoketungia Division and Nkwen in Bamenda III Sub-division (West). Tubah has a considerable surface area of 365 km<sup>2</sup> giving a population density of 145 persons/km<sup>2</sup>. Tubah is made up of four main villages; Bambili, Bambui, Kedjo-Ketinguh and Kedjom-Keku. More than 80.0% of the inhabitants are farmers. Here, plantain, cocoyam, rice, maize, and beans play a huge role in their every diet and energy sources.

### 2.2 Plant Material

Three plantain landraces namely; Sangmoh, Ngumbe and Kwah (Fig. 1) were identified from a smallholder plantain farm in Bambui of the Tubah sub-division in the Mezam division of the North-West Region of Cameroon and sword sucks and

peepers collected. They all take an average of 2 years from planting to harvesting. Sangmoh produces 2-3 hands with 3-4 fingers each. Most farmers prefer this because of the large sizes of its fingers and taste. It grows to a height of about 3.5-4 m. Ngumbe produces 4-6 hands with 10-12 fingers each. This landrace is preferred because of its economic value. It grows to a height of about 3 m. Kwah produces an average of 6 hands with 10-12 small fingers per bunch and they mature within a short interval. It is preferred because of the big bunch size and the height (1.8-2 m) of the plant.

### 2.3 Explant Preparation and Sterilization

The suckers were washed thoroughly under running tap water and roots cut off. The corm and the pseudostem of the suckers were reduced and submerged in a well labelled beaker filled with tap water to prevent dehydration of the explants. The explants were washed thoroughly under running tap water using a brush to remove all the debris before taking into the laboratory. Two solutions were used to sterilize the explants inside the laminar flow hood: the shoot tips were submerged in 96% ethanol (1 minute), later into 30% sodium hypochlorite with 20 drops of tween 80 (10 minutes). Finally, they were rinsed 2-3 times with sterile distilled water.

### 2.4 Medium Preparation and Culture Condition

The explants were cultured on the Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium [19] with cytokinin and auxin solutions at a concentration of 1 mg/ml. the entire process is summarized in Fig. 2.




Fig. 1. Plantain landraces used in the study. (a) - Sangmoh, (b) – Ngumbe, (c) – Kwah



**Fig. 2. Summary of plantain cultivar proliferation: a – paring of cultures; b – shoot tip submerged in solution for sterilization; c – excised shoot tips ready to be placed on culture medium composition; d – cultures 1 week after subculturing medium composition; e – cultures 2 weeks after subculturing medium composition; f – cultures 4 weeks after subculturing medium composition**

#### 2.4.1 Shoot initiation

For shoot initiation, MS basal salts were supplemented with BAP, sugar and ascorbic acid. A weighing balance was used to weigh the solid chemicals (table sugar ~ 30 g, agar ~ 6 g), while a pipette and measuring cylinder was used to measure the liquid chemicals (macro ~ 100 ml, micro ~ 100 ml, iron stock ~ 5 ml, vitamins ~ 5 ml, ascorbic acid ~ 5 ml, IAA ~ 5 ml and BAP 1 mg/l ~ 2 ml). The pH (using some drops of NaOH 1M and HCl 1M) and temperature were maintained at  $5.8 \pm 0.1$  and  $25 \pm 2$ , respectively. After preparation of the medium, 50 ml each were dispensed in sterile baby food jars while still hot and fast enough to avoid the medium from solidifying in the beaker. The jars were corked and placed in the autoclave for sterilization at a temperature of  $121^\circ\text{C}$  and at a pressure of 103.4 Kpa for 15 minutes. After sterilization, the medium was allowed to cool.

#### 2.4.2 Shoot tip excision

This was done under a laminar flow hood previously swapped with 70% alcohol. Using a blade mounted on a blade holder and forceps, the shoot tip of 0.5 cm long with 3-4 leaf ultivars<sup>4</sup> were obtained. The shoot tips were placed on the

medium in an upright position. The jars were labelled and incubated in the growth room at a temperature of  $25^\circ\text{C} \pm 2$  with a photoperiod of 16 hr/day. The cultures were transferred to a fresh medium every 2 weeks after initiation twice for one month under a laminar flow hood. During each transfer, each culture was removed carefully from the medium and the blackening basal tissues were cleaned off as well as dead leaf sheaths before placing in a fresh medium. Four weeks after, the cultures were transferred on to a multiplication medium.

#### 2.4.3 Shoot proliferation

For shoot multiplication, medium, MS basal salts (Murashige and Skoog, 1962) were supplemented with sugar (~30 g), macro (~100 ml), micro (~100 ml), iron stock (~5 ml), vitamins (~5 ml), ascorbic acid (~5 ml), IAA (~1ml) BAP 1 mg/l (~2 ml) and agar (~6 g). The stocks were used to prepare medium. A 1000 ml graduated beaker was placed on an electronic stirrer which helped in dissolving and homogenizing the media. Sterilized distilled water was used in the medium preparation and for rinsing equipment which were used, to avoid mix up and contamination of different chemicals. Each stock was poured in to the beaker. After making up the

volume with sterile distilled water to 1000 ml, the pH of the medium was adjusted to  $5.8 \pm 0.1$  using a pH meter. After preparation of the medium, 50 ml each were dispensed in sterile baby food jars while still hot and fast enough to avoid the medium from solidifying in the beaker. The jars were corked and placed in the autoclave for sterilization at a temperature of  $121^{\circ}\text{C}$  and 103.4Kpa for 15 minutes. After sterilization, the medium was allowed to cool.

## 2.5 Transfer and Maintenance of Culture

This was done in the laminar flow hood previously swapped with 70% alcohol. Each culture was removed carefully from the initiation medium. This was followed by cleaning of the blackening basal tissues, reducing the corm size as well as dead leaves and subdividing the bud cluster into small pieces, to induce the formation of new buds. They were all subculture inside the proliferation medium in an upright position and the jars were labelled and incubated in the growth room at a temperature of  $25^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 2$  with a photoperiod of 16 hrs/day. Subculturing was done every 4 weeks and at each sub-culture the corm size was reduced, blackening cleaned, dead leaf sheaths removed, and the bud clumps divided.

There were four replications per treatment organize in a randomized complete design (RCD).

## 2.6 Data Analysis

The experiment was laid in a completely randomized designed (CRD) with four replicates. The data was analyzed using, the Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) (vers. 23.0) One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare if there were significantly different means. Means significantly different were separated using the Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at probability level 0.05. Excel 2016 was used to plot the graphs.

## 3. RESULTS

### 3.1 Number of Buds

The average number of buds 12 weeks after culturing varied with the plantain land races. The mean number of bud ranged from 3.0 buds in Sangmoh to 7.0 buds in Ngumbe. The Analysis of Variance revealed significant differences ( $F = 1.857$ ,  $df = 2, 21$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ) in the treatments. The

land race Ngumbe had the highest average number of buds (7.0) (Fig. 3).

### 3.2 Length of Shoots

The shoot length 12 weeks after culturing differed in the plantain land races. The mean shoot length ranged from 0.3 cm in Sangmoh to 0.8 cm in Kwah. Analysis of variance revealed significant difference ( $F = 0.424$ ,  $df = 2, 21$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ) in the shoot length for the plantain landraces. The plantain landrace Kwah had the longest shoot length (0.8) (Fig. 4).

### 3.3 Number of Leaves

The number of leaves 12 weeks after culturing varied with the plantain landraces. The mean number of leaves ranged from 0.1 in Ngumbe to 0.3 in Kwah. The analysis of variance indicated significant differences ( $F = 0.139$ ,  $df = 2, 21$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ) in the treatments. The landrace Kwah had the highest number of leaves (0.3) (Fig. 5).

### 3.4 The Number Contaminated

The number contaminated 12 weeks after culturing differed in the plantain landraces. The variation in the mean number contaminated ranged from 0.4 in Sangmoh to 0.5 in Kwah and Ngumbe. The analysis of variance revealed no significant differences ( $F = 67.11$ ,  $df = 2, 33$ ,  $p > 0.05$ ) in the number contaminated for the plantain land races. The land race Sangmoh had least number contaminated (0.4) (Fig. 6).


### 3.5 Number Dead

The number dead 12 weeks after culturing varied with the plantain landraces. The mean number dead ranged from 2.0 in Ngumbe and Sangmoh to 3.0 in Kwah. The analysis of variance revealed significant differences ( $F = 3.361$ ,  $df = 2, 33$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ) in the treatments. The least number of dead occurred in Ngumbe and Sangmoh (2.0) (Fig. 7). In other words, the number of dead was same for Ngumbe and Sangmoh.


## 4. DISCUSSION

Success in tissue culture of banana/plantain from various genome groups has been reported by several researchers and it was well-documented that the regenerative capacity *in vitro* is highly genotype specific and greatly influenced by growth regulator amended in shoot-inducing medium [20-22]. Different genome groups,


especially with balbisiana (AAB, ABB or BBB groups) in their ploidy appeared to be more difficult to propagate than all cultivars (AAA) groups [20,23,24]. Evidently, the present study indicates that the different varieties differ in the regenerative capacity *In vitro*.




**Fig. 3. Mean number of buds of plantain landraces twelve weeks after culturing**  
 Means bars with the same letter(s) are not significantly different (DMRT,  $p < .05$ )




**Fig. 4. Mean of shoot length of plantain landraces twelve weeks after culturing.**  
 Means bars with the same letter(s) are not significantly different (DMRT,  $p < .05$ )



**Fig. 5. Mean number of leaves of plantain landraces twelve weeks after culturing**  
 Means bars with the same letter(s) are not significantly different (DMRT,  $p < .05$ )



**Fig. 6. Mean number contaminated of plantain landraces twelve weeks after culturing**  
*Means bars with the same letter(s) are not significantly different (DMRT,  $p < .05$ )*



**Fig. 7. Mean number dead of plantain landraces 12 weeks after culturing**  
*Means bars with the same letter(s) are not significantly different (DMRT,  $p < .05$ )*

The proliferation of buds in Sangmoh was lower than that of Kwah and Ngumbe. The highest number of buds produced was observed in Ngumbe followed by Kwah. Comparing the three landraces, Ngumbe can be considered to have a high regenerative capacity since it produced a high number of buds which can be attributed to the genotypic difference among the landraces. Thus, it further confirms that the rate of bud proliferation is cultivar dependent [25,26].

Ngumbe and Sangmoh recorded the shortest shoot length while Kwah had the longest shoot length. This difference in shoot length could be influenced by concentration and combination of cytokinin and auxin in the culture media. This

finding agrees with [27] who observed longest shoot length between two banana cultivars in MS medium supplemented with BAP having concentration 5.0 mg/l.

The difference in the number of leaves in the plantain landraces varied from 0.1 leaves in Ngumbe to 0.3 leaves in Kwah. This could be because of their genotypic difference and concentration of cytokinin in the culture media. It should be noted that [27] reported a maximum number of leaves of 5.0; produced in two banana cultivars with 5.0 mg/l BAP, which was identical with our concentration of 4.0 mg/l BAP. [28] also found that 5.0 mg/l BAP concentration was the best for number of leaves per plantlets. Perhaps

the concentration of BAP in this study could be adjusted for optimization.

Contamination and blackening of the cultivar tissues' can be considered as constraints in this technique. In fact, blackening of the cultivar tissues was the main difficulty. Although contamination was controlled with proper handling and surface sterilization technique, Sangmoh was the least contaminated while Kwah and Ngumbe were the most contaminated. This concurs with [29] who said that contamination has been reported as a constant problem in tissue culture, which can compromise development of all *in vitro* techniques. It should be noted that the contamination level in this study is within acceptable limits.

Blackening or browning observed especially in cut surfaces of explants in tissue culture is widely known as the oxidation of phenolic compounds resulting in the formation of quinines which are highly reactive and toxic to plant tissue [30-32].

The high level of dead amongst the plantain landraces could be attributed to the high level of blackening observed on the tissues. This agrees with [33], who observed that at higher levels of blackening, death of tissues could be expected as browning is due to oxidation of polyphenols which are highly toxic and prevents the tissues from taking up nutrients.

## 5. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, *in vitro* introduction of the three plantain landraces to enhance conservation were efficiently given/carried out that all the plantain landraces adapted and regenerated. From the result obtained Ngumbe landrace had the highest respond in the MS media used followed Kwah and Sangmoh being the least regarding the proliferation rate. All landraces showed that tissue technique can be used both for proliferation and for germplasm preservation. Further studies on different media and ingredient concentrations should be investigated.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

1. Denham TP, Haberle SG, Lentfer C, Fullager R, Field J, Therin M, Porch M,

- Winsborough M. Origins of agriculture at Kuk swamp in the Highlands of New Guinea. *Science*. 2003;301:189-193.
2. Simmonds NW, Shepherd K. The taxonomy and origins of the cultivated bananas. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society*. 1955;55:302-312.
3. Heslop-Harrison JS, Schwarzacher T. Domestication, genomics and the future for banana. *Annals of Botany*. 2007;100:1073-1084.
4. Perrier X, Langhe ED, Donohue M, Lentfer C, Vrydaghs L, et al. Multidisciplinary perspectives on banana (*Musa* spp.) domestication. *Proceeding of National Academy of Science USA*. 2011;8:11311-11318.
5. Scot CN, Ploetz RC, Kepler AK. *Musa* species (banana and plantain) Musaceae (banana family). *Species Profile for Pacific Island Agroforestry*. 2006;2(2). (Accessed 12 June 2018) Available:www.traditionaltree.org
6. Nayar NM. The bananas: Botany, origin and dispersal. *Horticultural Review*. 2010;36:117-164.
7. Arvanitoyannis IS, Mavromatis G, Gramatikaki-Avgeli, Sakellariou M. Banana: Cultivars, biotechnological approaches and genetic transformation. *International Journal of Food Science Technology*. 2008;43:1871-1879.
8. Fogain R, Ysenbrandt H. Utilisation of neem (*Azadirachta indica*) and mushroom (*Beauveria bassiana*) against weevil of bananas and plantains. *Proceedings of the V<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference on Bioscience and Food Security*. 1998;223-229.
9. Anon. Practical guide on cultivation of banana plantain in Cameroon. MINADER/PPTTE, October Edition; 2005. (Accessed 10 January 2019) Available:www.programme plantain.com
10. Hauser S, Amougou D. Plantain (*Musa* spp.) cropping systems of Southern Cameroon. In T. Dubois, S. Hauser, C. Staver, and D. Coyne (Eds.), *Proceedings of an International Conference on Banana and Plantain in Africa Harnessing International Partnerships to Increase Research Impact*. *Acta Horticulturae*. 2010;879:495-508.
11. Temple L, Bikoï A, Chataigner J. La consommation de banane plantain au Cameroun. *Les cahiers de la recherche-*

- développement. Systèmes Agroalimentaires à Base de Racines, Tubercules et Plantains. 1997;44:73–85.
12. Buah JN, Tachie-Menson JW. Suitability of bud manipulation techniques as an alternative to tissue culture in the production of suckers for plantains and bananas. *Biotechnology*. 2015;14(1):41-46.
  13. Ray T, Dutta I, Saha P, Das D, Roy SC. Genetic stability of three economically important micropropagated banana (*Musa* sp) cultivars of lower Indo-Gangetic plains, as assessed by RAPD and ISSR markers. *Plant Cell Tissue Org. Cult.* 2006;85:11-21.
  14. Rowe P, Rosales FE. Bananas and plantains. *Fruit Breed.* 1996;1:167-211.
  15. Vuylsteke D, Ortiz R, Ferris RSB, Crouch JH. Plantain improvement. *Plant Breed. Review.* 1997;14:267-320.
  16. Ortiz R, Vuylsteke D. Recent advances in *Musa* genetics, breeding and biotechnology. *Plant Breed Abs.* 1996;66:1355-1363.
  17. Pushpangadan P, Behl HM. Environment & Biodiversity: Agenda for future. *ICPEP*. 2005;3.  
(Accessed 17 September 2018)  
Available:<http://www.geocities.com/isebindia/ICPEP-3/ICPEP3-S-2.html>
  18. Ndenecho EN. Implications of fuel wood yield, availability and harvest in Tubah Mountain Forest, Cameroon. *Global Journal of Human Social Science.* 2009;10:49-55.
  19. Murashige T, Skoog F. A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue culture. *Physiol. Plant.* 1962;15:473-497.
  20. Sipeen P, Davey MR. Effects of N6benzylaminopurine and indoleacetic acid on *in vitro* shoot multiplication, nodulike meristem proliferation and plant regeneration of Malaysian bananas. *Trop. Life Sci. Res.* 2012;23(2):67-80.
  21. Rahman S, Biswas N, Hassan MM, Ahmed MG, Mamun ANK, Islam MR, Moniruzzaman M, Haque ME. Micropropagation of banana (*Musa* sp.) cv. Agnishwar by *in vitro* shoot tip culture. *Intl. Res. J. Biotech.* 2013;4(4):83-88.
  22. Iqbal MM, Muhammad A, Hussain I, Bilal H. Optimization of *in vitro* micropropagation protocol for banana (*Musa sapientum* L.) under different hormonal concentrations and growth media. *Intl. J. Agric. Innov. Res.* 2013;2(1):23-27.
  23. Hirimburegama K, Gamage N. Cultivar specificity with respect to *in vitro* micropropagation of *Musa* spp. (banana and plantain). *Horti. Sci.* 1997;75:205-211.
  24. Saha-Roy O, Bantawa P, Gosh SK, Texeira da Silva JI, Deb-Ghosh P, Mondal TK. Micropropagation and field performance of 'Malbhog' (*Musa paradisiaca*, AAB group): A popular banana cultivar with high keeping quality of North East India. *Tree and Forestry Sci. Biotech.* 2010;4:(Special Issue 1):52-58.
  25. Vuylsteke D, De Langhe E. Feasibility of *in vitro* propagation of bananas and plantains. *Tropical Agriculturist. (Trinidad).* 1985;62:323-328.
  26. Vuylsteke D, Wilson GF. Genetic stability of *in vitro* propagated plantains. (*Musa* cv. AAB). In: *Proceedings of Sixth International Congress of Plant Tissue and Cell Culture* (Ed. D.A. Somers). University of Minnesota, USA. 1989;258.
  27. Ferdous MH, Masum BAA, Mehraj H, Taufique T, Jamal-Uddin AFM. BAP and IBA pulsing for *in vitro* multiplication of banana cultivars through shoot-tip culture. *Journal of Bioscience and Agriculture Research.* 2015;03(02):87-95.
  28. Rabbani MG, Ali MH, Mondal MF. Effect of BAP and IBA on micropropagation of some banana cultivars. *Bangladesh Hort.* 1996;25(1&2):47-52.
  29. Enjalric F, Carron MP, Lardet L. Contamination of primary cultures in tropical areas: The case of *Hevea brasiliensis*. In: *Bacterial and Bacteria Like Contaminants of Plant Tissue Culture*. *Ishs Acts Horticulture.* 1988;225.  
(Accessed 10 March 2019)  
Available:[Http://www.actahort.org/bools/225/in-dex.htm](http://www.actahort.org/bools/225/in-dex.htm)
  30. Titov SSK, Bhowmik A, Mandal A, Alam MS, Uddin SN. Control of phenolic compound secretion and effects of growth regulators for organ formation from *Musa* spp. cv. Kanthali floral bud explants. *Am. J. Biochem Biotech.* 2006;2:97104.
  31. Ko WH, Su CC, Chen CI, Chao CP. Control of lethal browning of tissue culture plantlets of Cavendish banana cv. Formasana with ascorbic acid. *Plant*

- Cell Tissue. Organ Culture. 2009;96:137-141.
32. Ahmad I, Hussain T, Ashraf I, Nafees M, Maryam MR, Iqbal M. Lethal effect of secondary metabolites on plant tissue culture. American-Eurasian J. Agric and Environ. Sci. 2013;13(4):539-547.
33. Palmer JK. Banana polyphenol oxidase preparation and properties. Plant Physiology. 1963;38:508-513.

© 2019 Njualem et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:  
<http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/49964>*