

Journal of Experimental Agriculture International

33(2): 1-10, 2019; Article no.JEAI.47111 ISSN: 2457-0591 (Past name: American Journal of Experimental Agriculture, Past ISSN: 2231-0606)

# Use of Copper-Based Pesticides to Control Fungal Diseases of Soybean in Northern Brazil

Warlles Domingos Xavier<sup>1\*</sup>, João Vitor de Souza Silva<sup>2</sup>, Claudinei Martins Guimarães<sup>3</sup>, Jorge Luís Sousa Ferreira<sup>4</sup>, Thiago Albuquerque Turozi<sup>2</sup> and Solano Colodel<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Instituto Federal Goiano, Rio Verde – GO, Brazil.
<sup>2</sup>Eng. Agrônomo – Consultor na Ímpar Consultoria no Agronegócio, Palmas – TO, Brazil.
<sup>3</sup>Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Departamento de Eng. Agrícola, Viçosa – MG, Brazil.
<sup>4</sup>Universidade Federal de Goiás, Goiânia – GO, Brazil.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors, each one being responsible for one or more steps. Author WDX designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Author JVSS was and responsible for the development of the project that originated the article. Authors TAT and SC managed the analyses of the study. Authors CMG and JLSF Managed the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JEAI/2019/v33i230137 <u>Editor(s)</u>: (1) Dr. Edgar omar rueda Puente, Professor, Department of Agricultural, Livestock, the University of Sonora, Mexico. (2) Dr. Mario Lettieri Teixeira, Professor, Federal Catarinense Institute – Campus Concordia, Brasil. (3) Dr. Crepin Bi Guime Pene, Professor, Director of Research & Development, SUCAFCI-SOMDIAA, Ivory Coast. (4) Dr. Mariusz Cycon, Professor, Department and Institute of Microbiology and Virology, School of Pharmacy, Division of Laboratory Medicine, Medical University of Silesia, Poland. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Dr. V. A. Ajibade, Federal Polytechnic, Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria. (2) Oluwafemi Michael Adedire, Federal College of Agriculture, Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/47111</u>

<b>Received 30 December</b>	2018
Accepted 19 March	2019
Published 27 March	2019

Original Research Article

# ABSTRACT

At level word fungal diseases that affect soybean crop are one of the main causes of low productivity and annual losses may reach 21% of total production. In this context, the objective of the study was to evaluate the efficiency of copper-based protectors associated with fungicides to control soybean diseases such as: asian soybean rust (*Phakopsora pachyrhizi*), target spot of soybean (*Corynespora cassiicola*) and cercospora leaf blight (*Cercospora kikuchii*) + frogeye leaf spot (*Cercospora sojina*) + brown spot (*Septoria glycines*), which together were considered as late-crop cycle diseases, with impact on grain yield, in the region of Aparecida do Rio Negro – TO, Brazil. Treatments were composed of different rates of copper-based pesticides associated with fungicides like Azimut<sup>®</sup> (first application), Orkestra<sup>®</sup> (second application), Ativum<sup>®</sup> (third application) and Horos<sup>®</sup> (fourth application) in soybean. Diseases were identified and crop damage evaluations

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: warlles.pesquisa@gmail.com;

on leaves were performed using LI-COR<sup>®</sup> portable meter 7 days after the fourth application. At physiological maturity, grain yield was evaluated. Combined rates of fungicides + Unizeb Gold<sup>®</sup> (1.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), Difere<sup>®</sup> (0.5 L ha<sup>-1</sup>), and NHT<sup>®</sup> Copper Super at a rate higher than 0.109 L ha<sup>-1</sup>, were effective to control late crop-cycle diseases in soybean. Associated applications of fungicides + 0.219 L/ha of NHT<sup>®</sup> Copper Super reduced the severity of Asian soybean rust, target spot of soybean and late crop-cycle diseases with a greater increase in grain yield (4.5 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

Keywords: Glycine max; Asian soybean rust; Phakopsora pachyrhizi; induction of resistance; grain yield; yield loss.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill) is one of the most important economic segments of Brazilian agribusiness and one of main crops used during the harvest period, in the Northern region of Brazil [1,2]. Tocantins covers a soybean cultivated area of 956 thousand hectares, with an average yield of 2.9 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> (harvest of 2016/17), falling below the national average of 3.4 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> of soybeans [3].

However, this crop is prone to lower yields due to its infestations by several diseases. Among factors affecting soybean yields, lies the lack of any crop protection strategy against fungus species which may cause damage over the whole crop cycle or only at late-crop cycle [4].

A complex of diseases affecting the final phase of crop cycle, namely *Cercospora kikuchii*, *Cercospora sojina* and *Septoria glycines*) causes 21% of production losses as well as reduction in seed weight [5]. Most common diseases affecting crop vegetative and flowering stages are powdery mildew (*Microsphaera diffusa*), mildew (*Peronospora manshurica*), anthracnose (*Colletotrichum truncatum*), target spot (*Corynespora cassicola*), teleomorph (*Thanatephorus cucumis*) and especially the Asian soybean rust (*Phakopsora pachyrhizi* Sydow & P. Sydow) [6].

The use of commercial products to activate plant defense mechanisms or to benefit from fungicide effects are often reported in the literature and several findings on micronutrients use are available [7]. However, new alternatives need to be developed to challenge traditional practices of disease control. The induction of plant resistance is also an alternative to be integrated in the crop protection strategy.

In this scenario, fungicides associated with copper-based (Cu) pesticides were shown as an effective and economical alternative in crop management, as they enhance additive or synergistic effects when used in combination with chemicals [8]. In the plant, Cu has a structural function in enzymes, and several proteins containing Cu are important in a number of biological processes such as photosynthesis, respiration, detoxification of free superoxides radicals, lignification, and plant resistance to pathogens [9].

Therefore, the use of micronutrients or resistance inducers in combination with fungicides in soybean needs to be evaluated on regional basis in Brazil. The objective of this work was to evaluate the efficiency of copper-based protectors associated with fungicides to control soybean diseases.

# 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted in the country of Aparecida do Rio Negro – TO, Brazil, located at 9° 571' 7" South Latitude, 47° 58' 7" West Longitude and 262 m of altitude, in an experimental area belonging to Ímpar Consultoria on Santos Agropecuária farm. The climate of the region is tropical wet with two welldefined periods: rainy season, from November to March, with higher rainfall in December and January, and dry season, from April to October. The average annual rainfall is 1,240 mm.

Rainfall in the experimental area and temperature variation during the period of study are shown in Fig. 1 [10].

Soil type in the experimental area was a clayey Oxisol from Cerrado [11]. Results of soil analyses made before setting up the experiment determined following Embrapa methods [12] are show in Table 1. The experimental design was a randomized complete block with four replications. Each plot was composed of six lines of 6 m in length with 0.5 m of inter-row spacing which give 18 m<sup>2</sup> of land surface.

All different treatments used are defined in Table 2.

The variety of soybean used was M 8644 IPRO which seeds were treated and inoculated with Carbendazim + Tiram + Fipronil and sowed at a density of 530 plants per hectare. Direct sowing achieved on November 25, 2017, using а pneumatic tractor-seeder. At sowing rates of KCI were applied in combination of 250 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of (MAP). Monoammonium phosphate Crop protection was carried out according to Fundação Chapadão protocol [13].

Seven days after the fourth application, the severity of the following diseases was evaluated: asian soybean rust (*Phakopsora pachyrhizi*), target spot of soybean (*Corynespora cassiicola*) and cercospora leaf blight (*Cercospora kikuchii*) + frogeye leaf spot (*Cercospora sojina*) + brown spot (*Septoria glycines*), which together were considered End-of-Cycle Diseases (ECD) [6].

For the assessment/quantification of disease severity accurately, diagrammatic scales were used, which are illustrated representations of a series of leaves with symptoms at different levels of severity [14,15] (Fig. 2). Thus, in its elaboration, it is necessary to consider aspects such as the upper and lower limits, which must correspond, respectively, to the maximum and minimum amount of the disease found in the field; the representation of the symptoms, and should be as close as possible to those observed in the plant; and the intermediate levels of disease severity, considering the limitations of acuity of human vision, as defined by the " Weber-Fechner's Law of Stimulation " [16,17].

Thus, the severity of the diseases of soybean was elaborated from the collection of five trefoils totally open by repetition in the second, fourth and sixth reproductive node of the plants, counted from the apex to the base, thus simulating the upper, middle and lower thirds, respectively. After the collection of each leaflet, the injured area (necrotic tissue and yellowish halo) was drawn in transparent plastic and subsequently it was subjected to leaf area measurement using the LI-COR® portable meter (LI-3000) to determine the injured area and the total area. Therefore, it was possible to determine the soybean leaflet with the lowest number of injuries, intermediate injuries and the one with the most leaf injuries, thus establishing the lower, intermediate and upper limits in the diagrammatic scale, respectively.

Grain yield (in Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>), was estimated from the mass of grain, corrected to 13% moisture [18], with area for analysis of production of 3 m<sup>-1</sup>, collected in two central lines of each plot, discarding 1.5 m of border at each end. The Pearson correlation (r) between grain yield and severity of fungal diseases were also evaluated in soybean plants.



Fig. 1. Monthly rainfall and temperature observed over the period of study

Treat.	Protector (Product/Dose)	Adjuvant (L/ha⁻¹)	*Seasons of application
T1	Fungicides	0.5	1.2.3.4
T2	Fungicides + Unizeb Gold® -1.5 kg ha-1	0.5	1.2.3.4
Т3	Fungicides + Difere® - 0.5 L ha-1	0.5	1.2.3.4
T4	Fungicides + Fertilis Phitopress Copper® - 0.5 L ha-1	0.5	1.2.3.4
T5	Fungicides + Fertilis Phitopress Copper® - 1.0 L ha-1	0.5	1.2.3.4
T6	Fungicides + Fertilis Phitopress Copper® - 1.5 L ha-1	0.5	1.2.3.4
T7	Fungicides + NHT® Copper Super - 0.055 L ha-1	0.5	1.2.3.4
T8	Fungicides + NHT® Copper Super - 0.109 L ha-1	0.5	1.2.3.4
Т9	Fungicides + NHT® Copper Super - 0.219 L ha-1	0.5	1.2.3.4
T10	Fungicides + NHT® Copper Super - 0.4375 L ha-1	0.5	1.2.3.4
T11	Fungicides + NHT® Copper Super - 0.875 L ha-1	0.5	1.2.3.4
T12	Control	-	-

Table 1. Description of pesticides and their rates applied in soybean

\*1 = Application with Azimut® at 39 Days After Emergency (DAE); 2 = Application with Orkestra<sup>®</sup> at 43 DAE; 3 = Application with Ativum<sup>®</sup> at 55 DAE and 4 = Application with Horos<sup>®</sup> at 67 DAE



#### Fig. 2. Diagrammatic scales of asian soybean rust (A), target spot of soybean (B) and endcycle diseases (C) for evaluation the severity of fungal diseases in soybean (1) Top panel: Aggregated symptoms. (2) Bottom panel: Randomly distributed symptoms

The data were submitted to analysis of variance (p < 0.05) and when significant differences were found the means of the treatments were submitted to the Scott-Knott test at 0.05 of probability, using the statistical program SIRVAR<sup>®</sup> [19].

# 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the region of Aparecida do Rio Negro - TO, adverse climatic conditions are found in each

agricultural year, not following the same temperature pattern, relative air humidity and rainfall. Due to the aforementioned fact, the 2017/18 crop harvest was considered out of standard when compared to previous harvests, due to the good climatic conditions observed and regular rainfall distribution (Fig. 1). Disease severity data show that only ECD and target spot of soybean presented some degree of infestation. Asian soybean rust pustules were not observed in any of the treatments (Table 2).

Treat.	ASR (%)	*ECD (%)	TSS (%)	ASR (%)	*ECD (%)	TSS (%)
Lower third			Middle third			
T1	0	40.5 e	5.0 c	0	25.9 d	2.0 c
T2	0	1.00 a	0.5 a	0	1.00 a	0.5 a
Т3	0	1.00 a	0.5 a	0	1.00 a	0.5 a
T4	0	15.2 c	0.5 a	0	2.40 b	0.5 a
T5	0	2.40 b	1.0 b	0	1.00 a	0.5 a
T6	0	25.9 d	1.0 b	0	15.2 c	0.5 a
T7	0	25.9 d	1.0 b	0	15.2 c	0.5 a
T8	0	1.00 a	0.5 a	0	1.00 a	0.5 a
Т9	0	1.00 a	1.0 b	0	1.00 a	1.0 b
T10	0	1.00 a	1.0 b	0	1.00 a	1.0 b
T11	0	1.00 a	1.0 b	0	1.00 a	1.0 b
T12	0	40.5 e	5.0 c	0	40.5 e	5.0 d
C.V (%)	0.0	9.46	12.71	0.0	14.28	8.02

Table 2. Severity of Asian Soybean Rust (ASR), End-of-Cycle Diseases (ECD) and Target Spo	эt
of Soybean (TSS) at 7 days after the fourth application in the lower and middle third of the	
soybean crop, in the region of Aparecida do Rio Negro – TO, Brazil	

\*Cercospora leaf blight + Frogeye leaf spot + Brown Spot; T: treatments; averages followed by the same letter in the column do not differ in the Scott-Knott test at 0.05 of probability

At 7 days after the fourth application, in the lower third of the plants, severe symptoms of ECD and target spot of soybean were observed in a higher percentage (40.5% and 5.0%, respectively), when the fungicide was applied in isolation and in the treatment without application (control), with significantly higher occurrences compared to the treatments that contained the mixture of protectors. The high severity observed in treatments without application (T12) and with isolated application (T1) of resistance inducers may be attributed to the great virulence of ECD and reduced latency period.

For treatments that worked synergistically, it was observed that the application of Fertilis Phitopress Copper<sup>®</sup> (T4, T5 and T6), independently of the concentration, showed high progression in the attack intensity of ECD in the lower third of the plant, which shows low efficiency of the protector in association with fungicides. This effect was also verified in the treatment with application of NHT<sup>®</sup> Copper Super in the minimum concentration.

According to Embrapa [12], the soybean plants infected by the ECD decrease the photosynthetic rates due to necrosis or early senescence of the leaves. This premature fall of the leaves prevents the full grains formation, and earlier the defoliation occurs, the smaller the grain size and, consequently, a greater loss of yield and seed quality [20].

The treatments that presented, statistically, the best results in the control of ECD in the soybean

crop were T2 = Unizeb Gold<sup>®</sup> (1.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), T3 = Difere<sup>®</sup> (0.5 L ha<sup>-1</sup>) and the application of NHT<sup>®</sup> Copper Super in a concentration higher than 0.109 L ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 2). As the doses of NHT<sup>®</sup> Copper Super were increased, the lower the evolution of the ECD was in the lower third of the plant, however, there were no good results of these treatments in the severity of target spot of soybean in the lower third, except for T8.

Regarding the severity of target spot of soybean, there were different responses to those found in the control of ECD. The application of  $NHT^{(8)}$ Copper Super with a concentration of 0.055 L ha<sup>-1</sup> (T7) and higher than 0.219 L ha<sup>-1</sup> (T9, T10 e T11), were not able to minimize the presence of the disease in the lower third of the plant, and it did not differ statistically from the treatments with the application of the fungicide + Fertilis Phitopress Copper<sup>®</sup> with a dosage of 1.0 and 1.5 L ha<sup>-1</sup> (T5 and T6).

Significant differences in the control of fungal diseases were observed in T2 = Unizeb Gold<sup>®</sup> (1.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), T3 = Differe<sup>®</sup> (0.5 L ha<sup>-1</sup>), T4 = Fertilis Phitopress Copper<sup>®</sup> (0.5 L ha<sup>-1</sup>) and NHT<sup>®</sup> Copper Super - 0.109 L ha<sup>-1</sup> (T8). The associated application of the abovementioned fungicide + protectors promoted a greater reduction in the number of target spot of soybean in the lower third of the plant and, consequently, there was less progress of the disease.

A targeted study to phytosanitary control considers that the mixture in the tank of

protectors based on micronutrients associated to the fungicides can be an important strategy in the control of fungal diseases [7]. In this work, it was possible to verify the effectiveness of three protectors able to combat ECD and target spot of soybean in the lower third of soybean, T2 = Unizeb Gold<sup>®</sup> (1.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), T3 = Difere<sup>®</sup> (0.5 L ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T8 = NHT<sup>®</sup> Copper Super - 0.109 L ha<sup>-1</sup>. This simple decision-making may ultimately result in a more competitive product in the domestic/external market, greater efficiency in controlling fungal diseases; minimize land use restrictions and compaction.

In spite of these control results and the increasing importance of these diseases in soybean crop, this information on the efficiency of Cu-based protectors associated with fungicides will certainly contribute to the progress of research in the area, increase the use of these protectors, increase the productivity and, especially, increase the productive efficiency.

Concomitantly to the results found in the lower third of the soybean crop, the middle third was also observed to be sensitive to the isolated application of the fungicide (T1) and the treatment without application (T12), with more severe symptoms of ECD and target spot of soybean, respectively. A tendency in the control of the ECD in the middle third with those of the lower third were also noted, that is, the most effective protectors in the control of the ECD of the middle third were, respectively, the most efficient in the lower third of the soybean plant, except Fertilis Phitopress Copper<sup>®</sup> (1.0 L ha<sup>-1</sup>), which also obtained satisfactory results in controlling the disease in the middle third of the plant. This fact can be explained by the uniform and homogeneous application of the fungicide in contact with the entire canopy of the plant.

In controlling the severity of target spot of soybean in the middle third of the plant, the best results, i.e. the protectors that best control the disease are T2 = Unizeb Gold<sup>®</sup> (1.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), T3 = Difere<sup>®</sup> (0.5 L ha<sup>-1</sup>), T4 = Fertilis Phitopress Copper<sup>®</sup> (0.5 L ha<sup>-1</sup>), T5 = Fertilis Phitopress Copper<sup>®</sup> (1.0 L ha<sup>-1</sup>), T6 = Fertilis Phitopress Copper<sup>®</sup> (1.5 L ha<sup>-1</sup>), T7 = NHT<sup>®</sup> Copper Super (0.055 L ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T8 = NHT<sup>®</sup> Copper Super (0.109 L ha<sup>-1</sup>). These results show higher criteria in these protectors selection for control of ECD and target spot of soybean in the soybean crop, giving the producer more options for application and more economically viable products. In the upper third of the soybean, no possible disease was found within the complex of diseases after the fourth application of the fungicide + protector.

The use of the protectors in soybean crops has shown a significant improvement in the efficiency of the systemic fungicides to combat the complex of diseases of the culture. The protectors come with the objective of reducing the incidence and resistance of fungi to products with old active principles already on the market (triazoles and strobilurinss) and newer active principles, as in the case of carboxamides [7].

This introduction of protective fungicides in soybean crop has created a new market within the protection of plants. In this study, noted that there are differences between the market protectors and their greater efficiency is associated to the adjustment of doses and times

Treatments	Productiv	vity (Mg ha⁻¹)	Standard deviation (%)
T1	3.7	С	0.19
T2	3.9	b	0.24
Т3	4.0	b	0.26
T4	4.0	b	0.30
Т5	4.0	b	0.28
Т6	3.8	С	0.17
Τ7	3.9	b	0.24
Т8	4.5	а	0.35
Т9	4.1	b	0.27
T10	3.7	С	0.20
T11	3.9	b	0.25
T12	3.4	С	0.18
C.V (%)	7.59		-

Table 3. Soybean yields depending on different application rates of pesticides

*T: treatments; averages followed by the same letter in the column do not differ in the Scott-Knott test at 0.05 of probability* 

of application. In addition to its multisite action, which acts at various points in the metabolism of the pathogen, the protectors are composed of micronutrients such as Cu, which also collaborates to raise the potential of curative products [21].

In soybean yield, significant differences were found by the F test. The control treatment (T12) showed the lowest average yield of 3.4 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> and the highest increment under soybean yield was obtained when the crop presented mild severity to the pathogen attack, observed in the treatment with fungicide associated to NHT<sup>®</sup> Copper Super (0.109 L ha<sup>-1</sup>), with productivity of 4.5 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 3).

The yield increase in the treatment with the associated application of the fungicide + protector NHT<sup>®</sup> Copper Super (0.109 L ha<sup>-1</sup>), may be attributed to increased photosynthetic activity in the leaves during the grain filling stage (R1), mainly due to the lower occurrence of fungal diseases. The larger photosynthetic active leaf surface at the beginning of the reproductive stage of soybean may have aided in crop establishment and consequently, an increase in production, since the development of the plant depends on the interception of solar radiation for greater production of photo-assimilates [22].

In addition, Cu is an important micronutrient related to plant growth and development. Its function in the plant is linked to enzymes that participate in redox reactions, such as plastocyanin, which is involved in the transport of electrons in photosynthesis [23]. It also acts as an activator of enzymes that participate in the terminal electronic transport of respiration.

In this way, an important aspect to be considered in fertilization with Cu also refers to the amount of this nutrient to which the plant is exposed. Sánchez-Pardo et al. [24] concluded that the application of a high dose – 192  $\mu$ M de CuSO<sub>4</sub> – in soybean plants provided changes in thylakoid structure, loss of chloroplast membrane integrity and stromal degradation, as well as reduction of leaf area and leaf thickness. Consequently, the photosynthetic capacity of soybean plants was significantly reduced. In 2012 [25], using the same dose, the aforementioned authors verified a reduction in the weight and the number of soybean nodules, in addition to a reduction in the N content in the plant. Bernal et al. [26] observed that the mode of absorption of Cu by the plant could show different results. When the nutrient absorption occurred by the roots, in hydroponic medium, there was reduction in the biomass, the chlorophyll content and the oxygen release activity in the thylakoids of the leaves. On the other hand, when absorption occurred on the leaf, Cu promoted an increase in chlorophyll content and a stimulus in the photosynthetic activity of soybean plants, results that validate the use of protectors via foliar application.

Particularly, the averages of the yields obtained in this study remained above the region average of 3.4 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>, which means that the application of the fungicide + Cu-based protector tends to provide plants with greater resistance to stress factors, such as attack of fungal diseases. More studies should be conducted on this issue to validate this important management strategy of the phytosanitary control. The treatment of seeds to control soybean Asian rust may have conferred a greater initial protection to the plants, delaying the entry of disease into the area, reducing the initial inoculum potential and even improving the efficiency of foliar sprays [27].

The correlation analysis between soybean yield and the severity of fungal diseases showed a negative and significant correlation for all evaluated parameters: ECD and target spot of soybean in the lower third and ECD and target spot of soybean in the middle third of soybean plants (Fig. 3).

These results demonstrated that soybean yield is strongly influenced by the degree of disease severity during the early stage of grain filling (R1), particularly for ECD and target spot of soybean in the middle third, which presented strong correlation (>0.70), in soybean plants.

In this context, the data obtained in the present work are innovative and certainly can compose a database for calibration of the use of multisite action protectors. products and doses. associated with the application of fungicides in soybean. Agricultural experimentation guides management actions by adding benefits that, besides presenting an efficient control of pathogens, propitiates the optimization of plant defense and metabolism mechanisms, allowing the production of higher yields and better quality products.



Fig. 3. Data dispersion and Pearson (r) correlation between soybean yield and severity of Endof-Cycle Diseases (ECD) (A) and target spot of soybean (B) in the lower third and severity of End-Cycle Diseases (ECD) (C) and target spot of soybean (D) in the middle third in soybean leaflets

\*significant correlation at 0.05 of probability

## 4. CONCLUSION

#### REFERENCES

Application of fungicides in combination of Unizeb Gold<sup>®</sup> (1.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), Difere<sup>®</sup> (0.5 L ha<sup>-1</sup>) as well as NHT<sup>®</sup> Copper Super with a rate higher than 0.109 L ha<sup>-1</sup>, were effective in the control of common diseases in soybean.

To control the severity of soybean fungal infections, a combination of fungicides with Unizeb Gold<sup>®</sup> (1.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), Difere<sup>®</sup> (0.5 L ha<sup>-1</sup>), Fertilis Phitopress Copper<sup>®</sup> (0.5 L ha<sup>-1</sup>) and NHT<sup>®</sup> Copper Super (0.109 L ha<sup>-1</sup>), was found highly effective.

Particularly, a combined application of fungicides with 0.219 L ha<sup>-1</sup> NHT<sup>®</sup> Copper Super reduced the severity of Asian soybean rust and late crop-cycle diseases, and induced a grain yield increase of 4.5 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>.

# **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

- Prochnow JT, Schmid LP, Medeiros JC, Mielezrski F. Response to Late Foliar Nitrogen Application in Soybean Productivity. Journal of Experimental Agriculture International. 2017;15(1):1-5. DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.9734/JEAI/2017/30 258
- Dalchiavon FC, Lorenzon LA, Perina RA, Oliveira RA de, Santos JA dos. Economic Opportunity for Investment in Soybean and Sunflower Crop System in Mato Grosso, Brazil. Journal of Experimental Agriculture International. 2019;29(5):1-12. DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.9734/JEAI/2019/45 695
- National Supply Company (Conab). Follow up of the Brazilian grain harvest, 2016/17 crop, Seventh survey. Brasília. 2017; 7: 1-158.

Available:http://www.conab.gov.br

 Barros FC, Juliatti FC. Fungus survey on samples received at the mycology and plant protection laboratory of the Federal University of Uberlândia, from 2001-2008. Bioscience Journal, Uberlândia. 2012;28: 77-86.

Available:http://www.seer.ufu.br/index.php/ biosciencejournal/issue/view/707

- Querzoni RA. Effect of end-of-cycle leaf diseases (*Sptoria glicyni Hemmi* and *Cercospora kikuchii* Matsu Tomoyasu Gardner) on the duration of the soybean leaf area. 2001;49, Dissertation (Masters in Agronomy) - Luiz de Queiroz High School, ESALQ, Piracicaba, 2001.
- Henning AA, Almeida AMR, Godoy CV, Seixas CDS, Yorinori JT, Costamilan LM, Ferreira LP, Meyer MC, Soares RM, Dias WP. Manual of identification of soybean diseases. Londrina: Londrina: Embrapa Soja. 2005;1:72 (Embrapa Soja Documents 256).
- Acha AJ, Vieira HD, Souza CLM de, Silva FWA da. Methodology of Applying Different Doses of Boron and Zinc in the Coating of Perennial Soybean Seeds. Journal of Experimental Agriculture International. 2018;26(5):1-9. DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.9734/JEAI/2018/43 968
- Meneghetti RC, Balardin RS, GD Cut, Favera DD, Debona D. Evaluation of defense activation in soybean against *Phakopsora pachyrhizi* under controlled conditions. Science and Agrotechnology. 2010;34:823-829.
- Kerbauy GB. Plant physiology. 2nd ed. São Paulo: Guanabara Koogan. 2012; 451.
- National Institute of Meteorology (Inmet). (2018). Historical data from the PALMAS -TO Station. (WMO: 86752). Available:http://www.inmet.gov.br/portal/in dex.php?r=bdmep/bdmep
- Santos HG, Almeida JA de, Angels LHC dos, Coelho MR, Jacomine PKT, Lumbreras JF, Oliveira VA de. Brazilian system of soil classification. 5th ed. Embrapa Solos (pp. 353). 2018. Available:http://www.cnps.embrapa.br
- Brazilian Agricultural Research Corporation (Embrapa). Soybean production technologies: Central region of Brazil 2012 and 2013. Londrina: Embrapa Soja - Production Systems. 2011;15:261. Available:http://ainfo.cnptia.embrapa.br
- Tomquelski GV, Martins GM. Pests in the Culture of Soybean and its Control. In: Research - Technology - Productivity / Chapadão Foundation - Soybean / Corn -Harvest 2010/2011. 2011;4:41-65.

DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1413-70542010000400005

- Godoy CV, Koga LJ, Canteri MG. Diagramatic scale for assessment of soybean rust serevity. Fitopatologia Brasileira. 2006;31:63-68. DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0100-41582006000100011
- Soares RM, Godoy CV, Oliveira MCN. Diagrammatic scale for evaluating the severity of the soybean target spot. Tropical Plant Pathology. 2009;34:333-338. DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/s1982-

56762009000500007

- 16. Horsfall JC, Barrat RW. An improved grading system for measuring plant diseases. Phytopathology. 1945;35:665.
- 17. Nutter JR, Schultz PM. Improving the accuracy and precision of disease assessments: selection of methods and use of computer-aided training programs. Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology. 1995;17:174-184.
- Brazil. Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Supply. Rules for Seed Analysis. 1st ed. (pp. 395). Brasilia. 2009. Available:http://www.agricultura.gov.br
- 19. Ferreira DF. Sisvar: A computer statistical analysis system. Ciência e Agrotecnologia, Lavras, MG. 2011;35:1039-1042.
- 20. Kiptoo GJ, Arunga EE, Kimno SK. Evaluation of french bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) varieties for resistance to anthracnose. Journal of Experimental Agriculture International. 2018;27(4):1-7. DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.9734/JEAI/2018/26 326
- Matsuo E, Lopes EA, Sediyama T. Disease management. In: Sediyama T, Silva F, Borém A. Soybean from planting to harvest. 1st ed. Federal University of Viçosa, Editora UFV, Viçosa, MG. 2015;288-309.
- Pachepsky YA, Reddy VR, Pachepsky LB, Whisler FD, Acock B. Modeling soybean vegetative development in the Mississippi Valley. Biotronics. 2002;31:11-24. DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.2134/agronj1997.0 0021962008900060024x
- Taiz L, Zeiger E. Plant physiology. 5th ed. Sunderland: Sinauer Associates Inc. 2010; 782.
- 24. Sánchez-Pardo B, Fernández-Pascual M, Zornoza P. Copper microlocalisation and changes in leaf morphology, chloroplast ultrastructure and antioxidative response in

Xavier et al.; JEAI, 33(2): 1-10, 2019; Article no.JEAI.47111

White lupin and soybean grown in copper excesso. Journal of Plant Research. 2014;127:119-129.

DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10265-013-0583-1

- 25. Sánchez-Pardo B, Fernández-Pascual M, Zornoza P. Copper microlocalisation, ultrastructural alterations and antioxidante responses in the nodules of White lupin and soybean plants grown under conditions of copper excesso. Environmental and Experimental Botany. 2012;84:52-60. DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2 012.04.017
- 26. Bernal M, Cases R, Picorel R, Yruela I. Foliar and root Cu supply affect differently

Fe and Zn uptake and photosynthetic activity in soybean plants. Environmental and Experimental Botany. 2007;60:145-150.

DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2 006.09.005

 Scherb CT. Efficiency of Fluquinconazole in different formulations in the control of Asian rust by treatment of seeds in the soybean crop. In: Soybean research meeting in the central region of Brazil. 27. Londrina. Anais. Londrina: Embrapa Soybean; 2005. Available:https://repositorio.ufu.br

© 2019 Xavier et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/47111